# **INFORMATION TO USERS**

The most advanced technology has been used to photograph and reproduce this manuscript from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each original is also photographed in one exposure and is included in reduced form at the back of the book.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

# U·M·I

University Microfilms International A Beil & Howeii Information Company 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA 313 761-4700 800 521-0600

- -

Order Number 9102770

Studies of water mobility and determination of polymer, solute, and free water in wheat starch-sugar-water dispersions by using <sup>17</sup>O nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy

> Lim, Hyesook Son, Ph.D. Kansas State University, 1990



## STUDIES OF WATER MOBILITY AND DETERMINATION OF POLYMER, SOLUTE, AND FREE WATER IN WHEAT STARCH-SUGAR-WATER DISPERSIONS BY USING <sup>17</sup>O NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTROSCOPY

by

## HYESOOK SON LIM

B.S., Hanyang University, KOREA, 1981 M.S., Korea University, KOREA, 1985

#### A DISSERTATION

## submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

#### Food Science

Department of Foods and Nutrition

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY Manhattan, Kansas

1990

\_\_\_\_\_

- . -- ----

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

. . .\_

## STUDIES OF WATER MOBILITY AND DETERMINATION OF POLYMER, SOLUTE, AND FREE WATER IN WHEAT STARCH-SUGAR-WATER DISPERSIONS BY USING <sup>17</sup>O NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTROSCOPY

by

#### HYESOOK SON LIM

## B.S., Hanyang University, KOREA, 1981 M.S., Korea University, KOREA, 1985

#### A DISSERTATION

## submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Food Science

Department of Foods and Nutrition

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY Manhattan, Kansas

1990

Approved by:

Carole S. Setsee

Major Professor

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

\_\_\_\_\_

- - -

## Review of Literature

Water Mobility Studies Using NMR Measurements1
Characterization of water
Water mobility2
Starch-water systems3
Sugar-water systems5
Starch-sucrose-water systems6
Other multi-component systems7
Temperature effects8
Determination of different states of water9
<sup>17</sup> O NMR measurements in food systems
Starch Gelatinization15
Structure of starch granule15
Gelatinization16
Granular swelling17
Loss of birefringence18
Native crystalline melting
Role of Sugars on Starch Gelatinization21
Inhibition of starch granule swelling22

ii

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

.

- ---- -

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS - CONTINUED

Page

Less available water23
Water activity (a,)23
Interactions of sugars and starch24
Antiplasticizing effect25
REFERENCES27

## Chapter 1. <sup>17</sup>O NMR Studies in Wheat Starch-Sucrose-Water Interactions with Increasing Temperature

ABSTRACT35
INTRODUCTION36
METHODS AND MATERIALS38
Sample preparation38
NMR measurement39
DSC measurement40
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION41
Comparisons of R, at various starch concentrations41
Comparisons of R, at various sucrose concentrations-47
Wheat starch-sucrose-water dispersions52
Effect of increasing sucrose concentrations52
Effect of increasing starch concentrations55
CONCLUSIONS62
REFERENCES63

Chapter 2. Comparisons of Water Mobility among Four Different Sugars; Glucose, Maltose, Maltotriose, and Sucrose

iii

\_\_\_\_\_

. . .

\_

.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS - CONTINUED

ABSTRACT66
INTRODUCTION67
MATERIALS AND METHODS69
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION69
Glucose-starch-water dispersion69
Maltose-starch-water dispersion73
Maltotriose-starch-water dispersion76
REFERENCES82

Chapter 3. Determination of Varied Water States in Wheat Starch-Sucrose-Water Dispersions Using <sup>17</sup>O NMR Measurements

ABSTRACT84
INTRODUCTION85
Theoretical model for portion of free, solute and polymer water (Data Analysis)
MATERIALS AND METHODS91
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION92
Portion of theoretical and observed free water92
Changes of $P_t^{th}$ and $P_t^{obs}$ with increasing temperature101
Validation of assumption101
Comparison of changing trend107
CONCLUSIONS117

REFERENCES-----120

----

-----

<u>.</u>....

· \_....

-----

. .. \_\_\_\_ \_

#### LIST OF TABLES

#### CHAPTER 1

Page

Table 1 - Comparison of mean onset temperature, peak temperature and enthalphies (△H) for various starch-sucrose concentrations-----53

#### **CHAPTER 2**

Table 1 - Comparison of mean onset temperature, paek temperature and enthalphies ( $\Delta H$ ) for 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5M glucose, maltose, maltotriose and 20% starch dispersions------79

#### CHAPTER 3

Table 1 - Portion of polymer water for 10, 20, and 30% starch dispersions and their ratios at different temperatures------111

Table 2 - Portion of solute waters for 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5M sucrose and their ratios at different temperatures------112

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Page

#### CHAPTER 1

Fig. 1 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_i)$  of the starch-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature ( O - O ; 10%,  $\triangle - \triangle$  ; 20%,  $\Box - \Box$  ; 30%, and  $\bigcirc - \bigcirc$  ; 60% starch concentration)-----43

Fig. 2 - Transverse relaxation rates (R<sub>i</sub>) of the sucrose-water solutions as a function of increasing temperature (  $\bigcirc - \bigcirc$ ; 0.5M,  $\triangle - \triangle$ ; 1.0M,  $\square - \square$ ; 1.5M, and  $\blacktriangledown - \blacktriangledown$ ; saturated sucrose concentration)----46

Fig. 3 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_i)$  of the 10% starch-sucrose;  $\bigcirc -\bigcirc \bigcirc -0.0M$ ,  $\triangle -\bigcirc -0.5M$ ,  $\square -\square \square$ -1.0M, and  $\bigcirc -\bigcirc \bigcirc -1.5M$  concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature----49

Fig. 4 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_1)$  of the 20% starch-sucrose; O-O-0.0M,  $\bigtriangleup$  -- $\bigstar$  -0.5M,  $\Box$  -- $\Box$ -1.0M, and  $\bigcirc$  -- $\bigcirc$  -1.5M concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature----50

Fig. 5 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_1)$  of the 30% starch-sucrose;  $\bigcirc -\bigcirc -\bigcirc .\bigcirc M$ ,  $\bigtriangleup -\bigtriangleup -\bigcirc .\bigcirc .5M$ ,  $\square -\square$ -1.0M, and  $\bigcirc -\bigcirc -1.5M$  concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature----51

Fig. 6 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_2)$  of the starch;  $\bigcirc -0$  -10%,  $\blacktriangle -20$ %, and  $\square - \square -30$ % starch concentration-0.0M sucrose-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature-----56

Fig. 7 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_1)$  of the starch;  $\bigcirc -\bigcirc -10\%$ ,  $\bigtriangleup -20\%$ , and  $\bigcirc -\bigcirc -30\%$  starch concentration-0.5M sucrose-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature-----57

Fig. 8 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_2)$  of the starch; O - O - 10%,  $\triangle - 20$ %, and  $\Box - \Box - 30$ % starch concentration-1.0M sucrose-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature-----58

Fig. 9 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_2)$  of the starch; O-O-10%, A-A -20%, and D-D-30% starch concentration-1.5M sucrose-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature-----59

vi

. .\_ .\_\_. \_\_ .

Page

#### CHAPTER 2

Fig. 1 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_1)$  of the 20% starch-sucrose; O-O = 0.0 M,  $\triangle - \triangle = 0.5\text{M}$ ,  $\Box - \Box = 1.0\text{M}$  and  $\bigcirc - \bigcirc -1.5\text{M}$  concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature----71

Fig. 2 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_1)$  of the 20% starch-glucose; O-O - 0.0 M,  $\triangle - \triangle - 0.5M$ ,  $\Box - \Box - 1.0M$  and  $\bigcirc - 1.5M$  concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature---72

Fig. 3 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_1)$  of the 20% starch-maltose; O-O - 0.0 M,  $\triangle$  - 0.5M,  $\Box$ - $\Box$  - 1.0M and  $\bigcirc$ - $\bigcirc$  -1.5M concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature----74

Fig. 4 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_1)$  of the 20% starch-maltotriose;  $\bigcirc -\bigcirc \bigcirc - 0.0 \text{ M}$ ,  $\blacktriangle -\frown \frown \bigcirc - 0.5\text{M}$ ,  $\square -\square - 1.0\text{M}$  and  $\bigcirc -\bigcirc -1.5\text{M}$  concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature----77

#### CHAPTER 3

Fig. 1 - Theoretical calculated portion of free water (P,<sup>th</sup>) for 10% starch-sucrose; O—O- 0.5M, ▲—▲- 1.0M, and □—□-1.5M concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature---93

Fig. 2 - Theoretical calculated portion of free water (P,<sup>th</sup>) for 20% starch-sucrose; O—O- 0.5M, ▲—▲- 1.0M, and □—□-1.5M concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature----94

Fig. 3 - Theoretical calculated portion of free water (P,<sup>th</sup>) for 30% starch-sucrose; O-O - 0.5M,  $\blacktriangle - \bigstar - 1.0M$ , and  $\square - \square - 1.5M$  concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature----95

Fig. 4 - Calculated portion of free water based on observations  $(P, \stackrel{\sim}{})$  for 10% starch-sucrose; O-O -0.5M,  $\bigtriangleup-$  - 1.0M, and  $\Box-$  - 1.5M concentration -water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature------97

vii

#### LIST OF FIGURES - CONTINUED

Fig. 5 - Calculated portion of free water based on observations (P, obs) for 20% starch-sucrose; O-O-0.5M,  $\triangle - \triangle - 1.0M$ , and  $\triangle - \triangle - 1.5M$  concentration -water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature----------98 Fig. 6 - Calculated portion of free water based on observations (P. (\*) for 30% starch-sucrose; O-O-0.5M,  $\triangle - \triangle - 1.0M$ , and  $\triangle - \triangle - 1.5M$  concentrationwater dispersions as a function of increasing temperature-----99 Fig. 7 - Comparison of portion of theoretical free water  $(P_t^{th}; O - O)$ , observed free water  $(P_t^{obs}; \bullet - \bullet)$ , polymer water  $(P_{pol}; \Delta - \Delta)$ , and solute water ( $P_{sol}$ ;  $\Box - \Box$ ) in the 20% starch-0.5M sucrose-water dispersion-----103 Fig. 8 - Comparison of portion of theoretical free water  $(P_t^{th}; O-O)$ , observed free water  $(P_{f}^{obs}; \bullet - )$ , polymer water  $(P_{pol}; \triangle - )$ , and solute water  $(P_{\omega_1}; \square \rightarrow \square)$  in the 20% starch-1.0M sucrose-water dispersion-----104 Fig. 9 - Comparison of portion of theoretical free water  $(P_t^{th}; O - O)$ , observed free water  $(P_{e^{\infty}}; \bullet - \bullet)$ , polymer water  $(P_{pol}; \triangle - \triangle)$ , and solute water  $(P_{sol}; \Box - \Box)$  in the 30% starch-1.5M -----105 sucrose-water dispersion------Fig. 10 - Changes of water mobility for 30% starch -sucrose; O—O - 0.0M, ▲—▲ -0.5M, □—□ - 1.0M, and  $\bigcirc$  - 1.5M concentration-water dispersion as a function of increasing temperature-----108 Fig. 11 - Calculated portion of free water based on observations ( $P,^{\infty}$ ) for starch;  $O-O - 10^{\circ}, \triangle - \triangle - 20^{\circ}$ , and  $\Box - \Box - 30^{\circ}$  concentration-0.5M sucrose-

viii

## LIST OF FIGURES - CONTINUED

Page

ix

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First of all, I would like to thank my major professor, Dr. Carole S. Setser, for her kind guidance for my research. She always understood the many difficulties that a foreign student may have while studying and living abroad, and she always fully supported me in many ways.

I also appreciated the helpful comments of Drs. Carole A.Z. Hathers, Joseph V. Paukstelis, and Paul A. Seib while completing this research. A special note of thanks goes to Dr. Paukstelis for his kind assistance in obtaining and interpreting NMR spectra.

It was very fortunate for me to be able to work with a talented post-doctoral fellow, Dorota Sobczynska; many multinational graduate students; and professors and staff members in the Department of Foods and Nutrition.

The love and greatful support of my mother, parents-inlaw, and many other family members made possible my study away from home. Especially, my beloved husband, Seungtaik, and little son, Dennis Dongkook, were the source of strength and motivation for pursuing my study. I deeply thank all of them.

х

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

•

٠

## WATER MOBILITY STUDIES USING NMR MEASUREMENTS

Water probably is the most critical component in the biological system. In foods, water is not just a medium for reaction, but it is an active ingredient to control reactions, texture and general physical and biological behavior (Whistler and Daniel, 1985). The amount of each different water state present in a food can profoundly affect the chemical, physical, biological and rheological properties of foods (Chinachoti and Steinberg, 1986).

Pulsed NMR spectroscopy was used to study water mobility in various food systems such as instant starch gel, sucrose solution, starch-sucrose system, starch powder, wheat flour suspensions, wheat doughs and breads, and vegetables (Leung et al., 1979, 1983; Lechert et al., 1980; Richardson et al., 1986a,b, 1987a,b; Hansen et al., 1987).

## Characterization of water

Lang and Steinberg (1981) showed differences in sorption behavior between macromolecules (starch, casein) and solutes (sucrose, NaCl) by using the Smith linear sorption isotherm. They reported that each of these sorbed a different type of water over a broad a, range. The water sorbed by the macromolecules was termed 'polymer' water while that sorbed by solutes was termed 'solute' water.

Later Lang and Steinberg (1983) reported variable time decay responses from longitudinal relaxation time  $(T_1)$  and transverse relaxation time  $(T_2)$  measurements of a pulsed <sup>1</sup>H NMR with the same a. (0.91) of starch, sucrose and 90:10 starch:sucrose systems. They concluded that polymer and solute water show different NMR signal responses and can coexist in a food.

Nagashima and Suzuki (1981) also tried to characterize bound water in a variety of systems (amino acids, beef, condensed milk, and starches) with pulsed 'H NMR. They measured the variation of free induction decay (FID) amplitude with changing temperature, and obtained the variation of liquid or unfrozen water content as a function of temperature by using freezing curves. Long relaxation reflects the liquid state and converts to unfrozen water, differentiating 'weakly bound water' and 'tightly bound water' at -20C. They postulated that different freezing curves indicate different states of components.

## Water mobility

Water mobility and binding are closely related to each other. Water that is tightly bonded to a substrate is highly immobilized, and T, is reduced. If a small fraction of water molecules are hydrogen bonded to macromolecules, water mobility decreases (Fung, 1977; Wynne-Jones and

Blanshard, 1986). According to this, we can detect a change in the extent of hydrogen bonding or water interaction and calculate the amount of bound water from the mobility data (Lechert, 1981).

Leung et al. (1976) reported that the amount of water bound to macromolecules (sodium alginate, pectin, corn starch, casein, and cellulose) was consistent in the pulsed NMR measurements. Although T, increased with moisture content for all the macromolecules, T, values were different among samples with the same moisture contents. The T, values also provide a measure of the strength of water binding: a short T, indicates strong water binding. In contrast to Leung's results, Brosio et al. (1983) reported that water-binding values using low resolution pulsed 'H were not constant but increased as total water increased with powdered milk system.

Starch-water systems. Proton NMR T<sub>1</sub> (Leung et al., 1976) measurements showed single phase water, and T<sub>2</sub> measurements indicated two phases of water. Two-phase behavior reflects the existence of two water fractions with different mobilities and has been reported by others (Henning and Lechert, 1974; Lelievre and Mitchell, 1975; Henning, 1977). Lelievre and Mitchell (1975) obtained a two-state model for concentrations with up to 40% starchwater dispersions. Multiple phases of water were reported

in starch-water dispersions with differing degrees of mobility for each different phase by Kashkina et al. (1979).

Lechert et al. (1980) studied mobility of bound water on starch with 'H resonance reorientation. Deviations from isotropic motion cause a splitting of the 'H resonance line, which is a measure of anisotropic motion. The bound water of potato starch showed distinct anisotropic water motion, whereas wheat starch had weak anisotropic motion, and corn starch showed isotropic motion. Therefore, they concluded that different starches show different water reorientation rates (Lechert and Henning, 1976; Henning, 1977; Lechert and Schwier, 1982).

Richardson et al. (1987a) reported four different regions of water mobility by using high field <sup>17</sup>O and <sup>3</sup>H NMR with corn starch suspensions and powders ranging from 10-96% starch concentration. They applied a standard isotropic two state model for the high moisture region (region I and II, 10-40% and 40-60% solids concentrations, respectively), with fast exchange. A plot of relaxation rate vs corn starch concentration showed three regions of decreasing mobility. The relaxation rate was linear with chemical activity over the entire concentration range. Two regions of mobility, trapped (III) and monolayer (IV) water, were shown in the low moisture region (III and IV, 60-92% and 92-96% solids concentrations, respectively). Richardson et al. (1987a)

4

also found that <sup>17</sup>O NMR relaxation rate was affected only by the concentration of corn starch in water.

Callaghan et al. (1983) studied water diffusion of wheat starch pastes by adapting the pulsed field gradient technique. They observed no significant difference in water diffusion coefficients among different rheological pastes and concluded that the paste did not restrict diffusion.

Sugar-water systems. Some carbohydrate solutions, such as glucose, sucrose, and maltose solution, were observed using dielectric or nuclear magnetic relaxation spectroscopy (Tait et al., 1972; Allen and Wood, 1974; Suggett, 1976; Suggett and Clark, 1976; Suggett et al., 1976). Tait et al. (1972) observed monosaccharide solutions (hexose and pentose) with <sup>17</sup>O NMR using enriched <sup>17</sup>O water  $(H_2^{17}O)$ . Relaxation rate was greater in hexose (glucose, galactose, and mannose) solutions than pentose (ribose) solutions. The transverse relaxation rate (R<sub>2</sub>) of glucose increased with concentration in a nonlinear manner. Nonlinearity decreased as temperature increased. Viscosity dependence of R, was linear if plotted against  $\gamma/e^{T}$ , where  $\gamma$  is viscosity and eis the density. They concluded that glucose increases the hydrogen bonding of aqueous solutions based on a significant decrease of the quadrupole coupling constant in glucose solutions compared to pure water. Suggett (1976) and Suggett et al. (1976) reported a single correlation time for

5

sugar solutions. A slow exchange process was the result of coupled motion of the hydrated side chains and sugar ring, which implied solute-solvent interaction.

Richardson et al. (1987b) studied molecular mobility of water associated with 5-80% sucrose solids concentrations by using 'H and ''O high field NMR measurements. They observed three regions of successively decreasing water mobility with increasing solute concentrations and suggested solute-solvent and solute-solute interactions via hydrogen bonding as the mechanism to explain the observed decrease in water mobility. Harvey and Symons (1978) investigated the hydration of glucose, mannose, galactose, ribose, sorbose and fructose based on chemical shifts as a function of temperature by using 'H high field NMR. They concluded that each hydroxyl group of the monosaccharide bonds with the water molecules.

Starch-sucrose-water systems. A system of more than one or two components becomes complex and the possible interactions increases. The rate of water exchange among components and microhomogeneity of the system needs to be considered (Richardson and Steinberg, 1987). Richardson et al. (1987b) studied the mobility of water in a polymersolute system of freeze dried starch:sucrose (FSS; 90:10) in  $D_2O$  or  $H_2^{17}O$ . Solid concentrations of 10-93% were evaluated using <sup>3</sup>H and <sup>17</sup>O high field NMR. The <sup>17</sup>O data showed one and

two Lorentzian peaks from 10-72.4% and 73.0-83.3% solids concentration, respectively, and a single Lorentzian peak appeared beyond 83.3% solids concentration. They hypothesized that two peaks resulted in the FSS-D<sub>2</sub>O system because two distinct water phases appeared that do not exchange at a rate greater than that of NMR frequency. The starch system showed a sharp increase in R, with concentration increases because of the water trapped between the starch granules (Richardson et al., 1987b). The FSS system showed little increase in R,. Solvated sucrose has the ability to create channels between starch granules that allow the water to continue to move freely in the system. They postulated that two peaks appeared when sucrose-water interaction are no longer able to provide a 'communication network'.

Other multi-component systems. Leung et al. (1979) measured T, of 'H NMR and found non-exponential decay of the signal indicating two or more species of water with slow exchange in a wheat flour dough system. Even though the variation is small between two fractions, long and short relaxation curves represent more and less mobile fractions of water, respectively. Later Leung et al. (1983) could not resolve the two fractions of water in soft and hard wheat doughs and breads. They concluded that 'H or 'H relaxation does not reflect different rheological properties. Bread

staling resulted in decreases in  $T_1$  and  $T_1$  with time indicating an overall decrease in water mobility and an increase in water binding during the process.

#### Temperature effects

Proton NMR measurements by Jaska (1971) indicated a decrease in water mobility during the reversible hydration or swelling stage and an increase in the mobility of absorbed water at the onset temperature of gelatinization. Jaska (1971) postulated that the decrease in water mobility was caused by an increasing number of water molecules being absorbed in the solid starch and later by an increasing mobility of the starch molecules which associated with the water. Lelievre and Mitchell (1975) followed T, vs temperature with wheat starch suspensions ranging from 2 to 40%, w/w. Water mobility decreased as hydration of the starch occurred at the onset temperature of gelatinization. As heating progressed, T, went through a minimum and then increased as starch chain mobility increased. The transition suggested that starch gelatinization was a melting process. Wynne-Jones and Blanshard (1986) reported an overall decrease in mobility of water molecules in wheat starch gels ranging from 25-60% water. This decrease in water mobility was accompanied by an increase in the fraction of 'bound' water in the gel although the formation

of hydrogen bonds and the rate and extent of this change exhibited a positive temperature dependence.

Windle (1985) used electron resonance spin to study potato starch granules in excess water systems. They exhibited three distinct starch-water stages at approximately 25-50C, 50-70C, and 70-90C, corresponding to a pre-gelatinization, gelatinization, and post-gelatinization stage, respectively. The mobility of the absorbed water and the starch-water interaction in the first two stages depended strongly upon the initial granule hydration state. Hansen et al. (1987) investigated possible sugar-starch interactions as a function of increasing temperature by using "C NMR. Their results suggested that sugar-starch interactions were occuring during heating of starch and appeared to occur just prior and within the temperature range of starch gelatinization as measured by DSC. Hansen et al. (1989) also reported that as the molar concentration of sucrose was increased, the number of carbon atoms involved and the strength of interactions appeared to decrease.

## Determination of different states of water

Different environmental states are characterized by different relaxation times. In previous NMR measurements, no single exponential behavior of the spin-echo decay curve

of water was interpreted as the presence of different types or states of water molecules. Different relaxation times corresponded to different molecular mobilities (Leung et al., 1976, 1979; Di Nola and Brosio, 1983).

Brosio et al. (1978) attempted to determine moisture content in starch-rich food products by applying the low resolution pulsed NMR technique. They measured the water content of samples from the liquid to solid ratio, which were converted to percentage weights. They assumed that most of exchangeable hydrogens in starch contribute to the liquid phase signal. Di Nola and Brosio (1983) reported that the amount of bound water determined by pulsed NMR agreed with the amount of unfreezable water as determined by other techniques. They claimed that ignoring the exchange process resulted in disagreement between the amount of bound water determined by pulsed NMR and unfreezable water determined by wide-line NMR (Leung et al., 1976, 1979). Apparent relaxation rates vary with the moisture content of the sample, and the values differ from those of bound or free water when an exchange process is present. However, those studies considered only two states--bound and free.

Chinachoti and Steinberg (1986) tried to determine polymer and solute waters quantitatively in a starch-sucrose model system by using model equations. The equations were developed for calculating solute water and polymer water

10

from  $T_1$  of a low field (10MHz) pulsed <sup>1</sup>H NMR and  $a_v$ . They reported high correlation (r<sup>2</sup>>0.97) between  $T_1$  and  $a_v$ . The  $T_1$ increased with increasing sucrose content and  $a_v$ . Sobczynska et al. (1990) calculated the free, solute and polymer water in starch-sucrose-water dispersions as polymer-solute-water model systems using <sup>17</sup>O NMR relaxation rates. Each portion of observed water was compared to a theoretical value. Sobczynska et al. (1990) considered relaxation rates of saturated solutions of solute (sucrose) and polymer (starch) as intrinsic relaxation rates for solute water and polymer water, respectively. The theoretical values of each state of water did not show much change, while the observed values showed dramatic changes over the temperature ranges (33-71C) studied.

#### <sup>17</sup>0 NMR measurements in food systems

Quadrupolar relaxation is the primary mechanism of <sup>3</sup>H or <sup>17</sup>O relaxation and changes in hydration states are reflected directly in the  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . If water interacts strongly, the relaxation rates are shortened (Leung et al., 1983). In any quadrupolar nucleus of spin greater than 1 (<sup>17</sup>O=5/2), recovery of longitudinal magnetization is no longer monoexponetial if slow motion occurs. Whenever a small molecule or an ion is bound to a macromolecules, its dynamics are slowed and the extreme narrowing condition is

not satisfied. The  $T_1$  is shortened and becomes frequency dependent (Fanni et al., 1989). Although  $T_1$  is neither affected by line width nor dependent on line width,  $T_1$  is considered the same as  $T_2$  in solutions of small molecules. To get consistent line widths, lineshapes are analyzed in terms of the equation for a Lorentzian peak

$$1/I = 4(v_{o} - v)^{2}/I_{max} (\Delta v)^{2} + 1/I_{max}$$

where  $v_o$  is the midpoint of the signal, v is the width of the signal at the half height,  $I_{uv}$  is the peak height, and I is the height of the signal at a point v. The equation to obtain consistent line widths for a nucleus undergoing quadrupolar relaxation that gives a Lorentzian lineshape is:

$$T_{2}^{-1} = T_{1}^{-1} = K\pi (e^{2}qQ/h)^{2} T_{r}$$

where  $e^{i}qQ/h$  is the quadrupolar coupling constant and K=3/125 for <sup>17</sup>O (Tiet et al., 1972). Therefore, to explain line width in terms of relaxation phenomena, T<sub>2</sub> or transverse relaxation rate (R<sub>2</sub>) can be used

$$1/T_{2}$$
 (sec) = R<sub>2</sub> (sec<sup>-1</sup>) =  $\pi(\Delta V_{obs})$ 

where  $\Delta v_{\infty}$  is half height of width.

The "O nuclei are affected by coupling from neighboring protons. Decoupling of protons is necessary to increase accuracy at the line width (Earl and Niederberger, 1977). Halle et al. (1981) reported extensive proton exchange broadening of "O resonance at and near the pH of neutral water. Richardson (1989) almost eliminated proton exchange broadening in "O NMR transverse relaxation even in the neutral pH range for water and corn starch-water systems by using proton decoupling.

Several advantages of "O relaxation are: 1) Strong quadrupolar interaction leads to large relaxation effects, thus, permitting studies at reasonably low concentrations. 2) The intramolecular origin of the electric field gradient at the water oxygen nucleus makes the quadrupolar interaction virtually independent of the molecular environment, which greatly facilitates the interpretation of relaxation data. 3) The "O relaxation is not influenced by proton (deutron) exchange with prototropic residues on the starch and sucrose except for a narrow pH range around neutral, a serious problem in "H and "H relaxation. 4) Cross-relaxation, which contributes significantly to "H relaxation, is unimportant for "O (Halle et al., 1981).

Therefore, the oxygen nucleus is considered the best probe for studying water-binding by solid components and the molecular motion of water (Richardson et al., 1987a).

Varying the D,O:H,O ratio had no effect on the longitudinal  $(T_i)$  or transverse  $(T_i)$  relaxation times of deuterons (Leung et al., 1983). It is been reported that all the water in a starch gel (Wynne-Jones and Blanshard, 1986) or all the OH groups in defatted wheat starch granules (French, 1984) was available for exchange and can be replaced with heavy water or deuterium (D<sub>1</sub>O). Because of the extremely low natural abundance of its one magnetically active isotope (170, 0.037%), enriched ''O water  $(H_2^{17}O)$  can be used to obtain more sensitive measurements (Kintzinger, 1983). The H<sub>2</sub><sup>17</sup>O in NMR measurements is not sensitive to proton or deuteron exchange with the solute, and the nuclear relaxation rate is at least two orders of magnitude more sensitive to molecular motion (Tait et al., 1972). The  $H_2^{17}O$  does not exchange with oxygen atoms of sucrose or starch, so it is not affected by chemical shifts. Therefore, strengthening the concentration of water's oxygen by 15 using 0.5% H,"O allows measurement of mobility of only water's oxygen rather the sucrose's or starch's oxygen in the sucrose-starch-water dispersion.

#### STARCH GELATINIZATION

#### Structure of starch granule

The main source of starch is cereal grains, tubers and roots. Starch is chemically heterogeneous and mainly composed of two kinds of polysaccharides molecules: amylose and amylopectin. The amylose molecule has almost all  $\alpha$ -1,4 bonds with 9-20 chains per molecule (Hizukuri et al., 1981) and amylopectin has around 96%  $\alpha$ -1,4 bonds and 4%  $\alpha$ -1,6 bonds. Native starch granules are organized into quasicrystalline macromolecular aggregates (Hood, 1982). In general, starch granules are birefringent and show a characteristic "Maltese cross" pattern under the polarizing microscope. The partially crystalline, glassy polymers have characteristic x-ray diffraction patterns (Maurice et al., 1985).

Currently, amylose is considered a mixed chain of linear and branched polymers with a limited amount of long chain branching that allows amylose to behave like a linear polymer (Lineback, 1984). For the amylopectin, the 'cluster' model is widely accepted (French, 1972; Robin et al., 1974; Nikuni, 1978; Manners and Matheson, 1981) to account for crystalline and amorphous regions in starch. The branch points are located in less organized amorphous regions, while the chains can associate into crystalline

regions. In the starch granule, amylose can exist in a random or helical configuration depending upon the presence of lipids, which occur in most cereal starches and amylopectin probably is present in a helical form (Lineback, 1984).

Biliaderis and coworkers (1984) mentioned three phases of starch granules for understanding starch behavior; 1) crystalline, 2) a continuous bulk amorphous phase (amylose), and 3) intercrystalline amorphous phase within amylopectin. Highly organized crystalline regions and amorphous or gel-like regions exist almost equally in starch granules. The gel phase, which is thought to be the continuous phase in starch, is comprised of the noncrystalline regions of amylopectin molecules and, presumably, amylose molecules. The amorphous phase of starch is most readily penetrable by water and low molecular weight, water-soluble solutes, such as sucrose, glucose, or urea (Maningat and Seib, 1987).

## Gelatinization

If starch granules are heated in excess water, gelatinization of starch occurs. However, gelatinization characteristics differ in starches (Lund, 1984), and the mechanism of the gelatinization process is not clearly understood. The importance of gelatinization is in the changing of the physical, chemical and biological properties

16

of starches. Futhermore, the gelatinization process alters the quality of food products. For example, if starch gelatinization and granule swelling do not occur concurrently with maximum batter expansion in cake baking, obtaining high volume and symmetry in a cake will not be possible (Bean et al., 1983).

During gelatinization, some changes proceed collectively and sequentially with heating (French, 1984; Maningat and Seib, 1987; Slade and Levine, 1987). According to the definition, which was chosen based on survey at the Carbohydrate Symposium of American Association of Cereal Chemists in 1986, starch gelatinization is "the collapse (disruption) of molecular orders within the starch granule manifested in irreversible changes in properties such as granular swelling, native crystallite melting, loss of birefringence and starch solubilization. The point of initial gelatinization and the range over which it occurs is governed by starch concentration, method of observation, granule type, and heterogenities within the granule population under observation" (Atwell et al., 1988). The definition pointed out the disruption of molecular order as the primary change occurring in gelatinization.

<u>Granular swelling</u> occurs to some extent without heating when starch granules are in excess water. Swelling begins in the least organized, amorphous, intercrystallite regions

17

of the granule (French, 1984). The gel phase of the starch granule is hydrophilic and after immersing in water, it undergoes limited reversible swelling, with subsequent swelling of the entire granule (Maningat and Seib, 1987). Starch granules heated in excess water swell continuously to the irreversible state from the reversible state. Increased molecular mobility in the starch granule results in a redistribution of molecules at the maximum resistant granular swelling condition, and the smaller, linear amylose molecules diffuse out of the swollen granules (Jaska, 1971; French, 1984). The temperature at which swelling starts is called the initial gelatinization temperature (Lineback, 1984). Bean and Yamazaki (1978) reported that initial granule swelling observed microscopically related to the viscosity increase at the first-stage of gelatinization. The rapid increase in viscosity observed during the initial swelling of the granules occurred before all birefriengence was lost, and the temperature range for viscosity increases corresponded with the starch granule diameter ratio increases. Sometimes the swelling temperature of starch granules could be limited by lipid-amylose complexes, polymer entanglements, and in some cases by incompletely disrupted crystalline regions of the original starch particles (Lelievre, 1984).

Loss of birefringence means that the hydrogen bonding

that causes crystallite formation was broken by the gelatinization process. This bond cleavage resulted in ordered amylopectin branched chains (Chinachoti and Steinberg, 1984). About 98% loss of birefriengence under a Kofler electrically heated hot stage microscopie or a polarizing microscope (Watson, 1964) is the point considered as the gelatinization endpoint. Major structural changes occur beyond the birefriengence end-point according to DSC studies. Therefore, Zobel (1984) claimed that a temperature range is needed to provide maximum disruption of structure to achieve swelling and high viscosities in a hot starch paste.

Native crystalline melting occurs concurrently with swelling of granule. As the gel phase swells, it exerts a tension on the neighboring crystallites and tends to distort them. Consequently, uncoiling or dissociation of double helical regions occurs with further heating and the amylopectin crystallite structure is disrupted (French, 1984). The crystallites in the granule disappear as noted by loss of birefringence and x-ray diffraction studies (Maningat and Seib, 1987). The x-ray diffraction pattern of starch provides useful information regarding molecular organization of starch as well as changes in starch crystallinity. An x-ray pattern does not provide quantitative values for starch gelatinization (Lineback,

1984).

DSC measurements have become a major means of studying melting concept for gelatinization. DSC is a thermoanalytical technique for monitoring changes in physical or chemical properties of materials as a function of temperature by detecting the heat changes associated with such processes (Biliaderis, 1983). Actually the temperature of melting crystallites and the level of water are closly related in DSC measurements (Zobel, 1984).

In DSC measurements, the importance of the amount of water on starch gelatinization in a starch dispersion has been reported by many researchers (Collison and Chilton, 1974; Burt and Russell, 1983). Variations in water:starch ratios produce endotherms with single and multiple peaks in DSC measurements (Donovan, 1979; Biliaderis et al., 1980; Eliasson, 1980; Ghiasi et al., 1982). In excess water systems (>67%) a single, sharp endothermic transition results. This transition respresents the melting of crystallites (Ghiasi et al., 1982; Zeleznak and Hoseney, 1987). When starch was heated 10C/min in excess water, strong gelatinization endotherms were observed with half-widths of 8-10C. The intensity of the gelatinization endotherms diminished, as starch was heated with progressively less water, and a new endotherm appeared at higher temperatures as water was decreased. Thus, wheat
starch does not gelatinize below 30-32% moisture (wet basis) while at 42% moisture it 'gelatinizes' at approximately 100C (Derby et al., 1975; Donovan, 1979; Wootton and Bamunarachchi, 1980). However, the condition or states of water in starch and water dispersion also influence starch gelatinization.

Maurice et al. (1985) reported DSC measurements of starch heated in the presence of an intermediate level of water caused both the amorphous and crystalline structure of starch to undergo transitions. In excess water, water acts as a plastisizer depressing the glass transition temperature of the amorphous regions permitting sufficient mobility of chains for the crystallites to melt. Thus, the glass-transition temperature  $(T_q)$  of the amorphous phase would be slightly lower than the 'melting' or endothermic transition of the crystallites  $(T_a)$ . When water becomes limiting, higher temperatures are required to exceed  $T_q$ . In limited water systems,  $T_q$  could control where  $T_a$  occurs.

# ROLE OF SUGARS ON STARCH GELATINIZATION

The effect sugars on starch gelatinization is increasing the temperature of gelatinization rather than inhibiting the process. In cake baking, altering the

21

gelatinization temperature is influential to the cake structure, especially the volume and contour (Osman, 1975; Bean et al., 1978). Bean et al. (1978) reported that optimum cake structure was obtained at starch gelatinization temperature of approximately 90C. Inhibiting starch granule swelling, decreasing available water, lowering water activity and forming of bridges (or interactions) are proposed mechanisms of sugars by many researchers for increasing the temperature of the starch gelatinization process (Glicksman, 1969; D'Appolonia, 1972; Derby et al., 1975; Lelievre, 1976; Bean and Yamazaki, 1978; Donovan, 1979; Wootton and Bamunuarachchi, 1980; Evans and Haisman, 1982; Spies and Hoseney, 1982; Lelievre, 1984).

Inhibition of starch granule swelling by sugars was hypothesized based mainly on viscosity changes (Bean and Yamazaki, 1978; Lelievre, 1984). The viscosity of a starch dispersion increases as starch granules swell. A higher temperatures of maximum viscosity with the addition of sucrose implies that the swelling of starch granule is inhibited by the presence of sucrose.

Cheers and Lelievre (1983), however, reported that sucrose in the range 0-20%, w/w, caused an increase in the swelling volume of wheat starch. If the sucrose concentration was greater than 20% (w/w), a decrease in swelling volume occurred. Bean and Yamazaki (1978) observed

22

microscopically that faster and more apparent swelling of granules occurs in 30 and 50% sucrose solutions than in water alone. Glicksman (1969) stated that sucroses's high solubility could tie up the water and inhibit granule swelling by rendering water unavailable to the starch.

Less available of water in the system was considered to result because sucrose easily hydrogen bonds with water in solution and, thus, lowers the amount of free water in the system (Lelievre, 1976). Therefore, less water is available for the starch and the gelatinization process is delayed.

However, if sucrose limits the available water with hydrogen bonding, Donovan (1979) noted that the DSC endotherms would be broadened at the same onset temperature and the enthalpy  $(\Delta H_q)$  of gelatinization should change with sugar concentration. But Spies and Hoseney (1982) found that the onset temperature increased with increasing sugar concentrations and the endotherms were broadened with a single sharp peak. A nonlinear relationship between  $\Delta H_q$  and the available water was reported by Wootton and Bamunuarachchi (1980). Therefore, increasing of the gelatinization temperature by sugars is not simply from lowering the available water in the system, but water binding might be involved in causing the increased gelatinization temperature.

<u>Water activity (a\_)</u> changes by sugars was proposed by

23

Evans and Haisman (1982). Solutes such as sugars are known to decrease water activity. Decreasing water activity by adding sugars in water changes the ability of water or chemical potential of water to interact with other components in the system (Spies and Hoseney, 1982). They suggested that a lowered water activity required more energy for any chemical or physical reactions involving water and thus, the gelatinization temperature was increased. However, increased gelatinization temperatures with different sugars at the same water activities implied that water activity alone was not responsible for the increased temperature of gelatinization.

Interaction of sugars and starch were hypothesized with the assumption that sugars were able to penetrate the starch granule (Spies and Hoseney, 1982; Lelievre, 1984). Spies and Hoseney (1982) assumed that the sugars form bridges within the starch granule and these bridges stabilize the amorphous regions of the granules. Longer chains, up to molecular weight of 800 (Brown and French, 1977), can bridge more gaps and increase the number of the hydrogen bonds than shorter chains. As more bonds are formed, the flexibility of chains decreases and more energy is required to pull the crystallites apart. Thus gelatinization temperature is increased requiring more energy to destabilize the starch granules. Sugar-sugar interactions could result in longer

24

bridges or act as longer molecular weight compounds, which can increase the gelatinization temperature (Mathlouthi et al., 1980; Mathlouthi and Luu, 1980; Richardson et al., 1987b).

Antiplasticizing effects of sugars on starch gelatinization with decreasing free water volume was noted by Slade and Levine (1987). Water alone is a plasticizer in the amorphous regions of starch granules. The mobility of the polymer chains increases and the free volume also increases. However, when sugar/water cosolvent exists, the plasticizing effect is decreased. As the molecular weight and volume of the solvents increase, the ability to act as a plasticizing agent and free water volume decreases. Thus, the temperature of starch gelatinization increases.

Generally, lower molecular weight and smaller molecules have lower mobilization points. All substances with especially low mobilization points have small highly polar molecules each with groupings capable of forming firm hydrogen bonds (Duckworth, 1981). Solutions of the monosaccharides, glucose or fructose raised the gelatinization temperature of starch, but to less extent than the disaccharides, sucrose or maltose (Osman, 1975; Bean et al., 1978; Hansen et al., 1989). On the contrary, Spies and Hoseney (1982) reported that sucrose raised onset temperature of gelatinization to a greater extent than

25

maltotriose, a molecule of greater molecular weight. Slade and Levine (1987) reported the following order: water alone < galactose < xylose < fructose < mannose < glucose < maltose < lactose < maltotriose < 10-DE maltodextrin < sucrose as the order of elevation of gelatinization temperature of native wheat starch with a series of 1:1:1 sugar:water:starch mixtures studied with DSC. This increase in gelatinization temperature was not in accordance with an increase in molecular weight. Therefore, the molecular weight of solvent and differences in free volume alone have not explained the increased gelatinization temperature.

26

·---- ··· -

#### REFERENCES

Allen, A.T. and Wood, R.W. 1974. Molecular association in the sucrose-water system. Sugar Technol. Rev. 2:165.

Atwell, W.A., Hood, L.F., Lineback, D.R., Varriano-Marston, E., and Zobel, H.F. 1988. The terminology and methodology associated with basic starch phenomena. Cereal Foods World 33:306.

Bean, M.M. and Yamazaki, W.T. 1978. Wheat starch gelatinization in sugar solution. I. Sucrose: Microscopy and viscosity effects. Cereal Chem. 55:936.

Bean, M.M., Yamazaki, W.T., and Donelson, D.H. 1978. Wheat starch gelatinization in sugar solution. II. Fructose, glucose, and sucrose: Cake performance. Cereal Chem. 55:945.

Biliaderis, C.G. 1983. Differential scanning calorimetry in food research - A review. Food Chem. 10:239.

Biliaderis, C.G., Maurice, T.J., and Vose, J.R. 1980. Starch gelatinization phenomena studied by differential scanning calorimetry. J. Food Sci. 45:1669.

Biliaderis, C.G., Page, C.M., Maurice, T.J., and Juliano, B.O. 1984. Thermal characterization of rice starches: A polymeric approach to phase transitions of granular starch. J. Ag Fd. Chem. 34:6.

Brosio, E., Altobelli, G., Yu, S., and DiNola, A. 1983. A pulsed low resolution NMR study of water binding to powdered milk. J. Food Technol. 18(2):219.

Brosio, E., Conti, F., Lintas, C., and Sykora, S. 1978. Moisture determination in starch-rich food products by pulsed nuclear magnetic resonance. J. Food Technol.13(4):107.

Brown, A. and French, D. 1977. Specific adsorption of starch oligosaccharide in the gel phase of starch granules. Carbohydr. Res. 59:203.

Burt, D.J. and Russell, P.L. 1983. Gelatinization of low water content wheat starch-water mixtures: A combined study by differential scanning calorimetry and light microscopy. Starch 35:354.

27

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

Callaghan, P., Jolly, K.W., Lelievre, J., and Wong, R.B.K. 1983. Nuclear magnetic resonance studies of wheat starch pastes. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 92:332.

Cheers, R.L. and Lelievre, J. 1983. Effects of sucrose on the rheological behavior of wheat starch pastes. J. Applied Polymer Sci. 28:1829.

Chinachoti, P. and Steinberg, M.P. 1984. Interaction of sucrose with starch during dehydration as shown by water sorption. J. Food Sci. 49:1604.

Chinachoti, P. and Steinberg, M.P. 1986. Quantitative determination of polymer and solute bound waters by pulsed NMR. Paper No. 311, presented at 46th Annual Meeting of the Inst. of Food Technologists, Dallas, TX.

Collison, R. and Chilton, W.G. 1974. Starch gelation as a function of water content. J. Food Technol. 9:309.

D'Appolonia, B.L. 1972. Effect of bread ingredients on starch gelatinization properties as measured by the amylograph. Cereal Chem. 49:532.

Derby, R.I., Miller, B.S., Miller, B.F., and Trimbo, H.B. 1975. Visual observations of wheat-starch gelatinization in limited water systems. Cereal Chem. 52:702.

Di Nola, A. and Brosio, E. 1983. Bound and free water determination by pulsed nuclear magnetic resonance. J. Food Technol. 18:125.

Donovan, J.D. 1979. Phase transition of the starch-water system. Biopolymers 18:263.

Duckworth, R.B. 1981. Solute mobility in relation to water content and water activity. In "Water Activity: Influences on Food Quality," L.B. Rockland and G.F. Stewart (Ed.), p.295. Academic Press, Inc.

Earl, W.L. and Niederberger, W. 1977. Proton decoupling in "O nuclear magnetic resonance. J. Magn. Reson. 31:207.

Eliasson, A.C. 1980. Effect of water content on the gelatinization of wheat starch. Starch 32:270.

Evans, I.D. and Haisman, D.R. 1982. The effect of solutes on the gelatinization temperature range of potato starch. Starch 34:224.

Fanni, J., Canet, D., Elbayed, K., and Hardy, J. 1989.<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>Na NMR relaxation studies of the NaCl/B-lactoglobin system equilibrated at various water activities. J. Food Sci. 54:909.

French, D. 1972. J. Jap. Soc. Starch Sci.19:8. [In AACC Short Course Report.]

French, D. 1984. Organization of starch granules. In "Starch: Chemistry and Technology," 2nd ed. R.L. Whistler, J.N. BeMiller and E.F. Paschall (Ed.), p.184. Academic Press, New York.

Fung, B.M. 1977. Proton and deutron relaxation of muscle water overwide ranges of resonance frequencies. Biophys. J. 18:235.

Ghiasi, K., Hoseney, R.C., and Varriano-Marston, E. 1982. Gelatinization of wheat starch. III. Comparison by differential scanning calorimetry and light microscopy. Cereal Chem. 59:258.

Glicksman, M. (Ed.). 1969. "Gum Technology in the Food Industry," p.544. Academic Press, NY.

Hall, B., Anderson, T., Forsen, S., and Lindman, B. 1981. Protein hydration from oxygen-17 magnetic relaxation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103:500.

Hansen, L.M., Paukstelis, J.V., and Setser, C.S. 1987. <sup>13</sup>C nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopic methods for investigations sucrose-starch interactions with increasing temperature. Cereal Chem. 64:449.

Hansen, L.M., Setser, C.S., and Paukstelis, J.V. 1989. Investigations of sugar-starch interactions using carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance. I. Sucrose. Cereal Chem. 66:411.

Harvey, J.M. and Symons, M.C.R. 1978. The hydration of monosaccharides - An NMR study. J. Soln. Chem. 7:571.

Henning, Von H.J. 1977. NMR - investigations of the role of water for the structure of native starch granules. Starch 29:1.

Henning, Von H.J., and Lechert, H.M. 1974. Measurements of the magnetic relaxation times of protons in native starches with different water contents. Starch 26:232.

Hizukuri, S., Takeda, Y., Yasuda, M., and Suzuki, A. 1981.

Multi-branched nature of amylose and the action of debranching enzymes. Carbohydrate Res. 94:205.

Hood. L.F. 1982. Current concepts of starch structure. In "Food Carbohydrates," D.R. Lineback and G.E. Inglett (Ed.), p.217. AVI Publishing Co., Westport, CT.

Jaska, E. 1971. Starch gelatinization as detected by proton magnetic resonance. Cereal Chem. 48:437.

Kashkina, L.V., Verkhovtseva, E.E., and Abramov. V.L. 1979. Proton magnetic resonance study of properties of water adsorbed on starch. Colloid J. USSR 41:368. [In "Water Activity: Theory and Applications to Foods," L.B. Rockland and L.R. Beuchat (Ed.), p.235. IFT basic symposium series. Marcel Dekker, Inc.]

Kintzinger, J.P. 1983. Oxygen-17 NMR. In "NMR of Newly Accessible Nuclei," Vol.2: Chemically and biochemically important elements. P.Laszlo (Ed.), p.79. Academic Press, Inc., NY.

Lang, K.W. and Steinberg, M.P. 1981. Linearization of the water sorption isotherm for homogeneous ingredients over a. 0.30-0.95. J. Food Sci. 46:1450.

Lang, K.W. and Steinberg, M.P. 1983. Characterization of polymer and solute bound water by pulsed NMR. J. Food Sci. 48:517.

Lechert, H.T. 1981. Water binding on starch: NMR studies on native and gelatinized starch. In "Water Activity Influences on Food Quailty," L.B. Rockland and G.F. Stuart (Ed.), p.223. Academic Press, Inc., New York.

Lechert, Von H. and Henning, Von H.J. 1976. NMR investigation on the behavior of water in starches. Chap. 28. In "Magnetic Resonance in Colloid and Interface Science," H.A. Resing and C.G. Wade (Ed.), p.328. ACS Symposium Series 34. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC.

Lechert. Von H., Maiwald, W., Kother, R., and Basler, W.D. 1980. NMR study of water in some starches and vegetables. J. Food Proc. Preserv. 3:275.

Lechert, Von H. and Schwier, I. 1982. Nuclear magnetic resonance investigations on the mechanisms of water mobility in different starches. Starch 34:6.

30

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

Lelievre, J. 1976. Theory of gelatinization in a starchwater-solute system. Polymer 17:854.

Lelievre, J. 1984. Effects of sugars on the swelling of cross-linked potato starch. J. Colloid and Interface Sci. 101:225.

Lelievre, J. and Mitchell, J. 1975. A pulsed NMR study of some aspects of starch gelatinization. Starch 27:113.

Leung, H.K., Magnuson, J.A., and Bruinsma, B.L. 1979. Pulsed nuclear magnetic resonance study of water mobility in flour doughs. J. Food Sci. 44:1408.

Leung, H.K., Magnuson, J.A., and Bruinsma, B.L. 1983. Water binding of wheat flour doughs and breads as studied by deuteron relaxation. J. Food Sci. 48:95.

Leung, H.K., Steinberg, M.P., Wei, L.S., and Nelson, A.I. 1976. Water binding of macromolecules determined by pulsed NMR. J. Food Sci. 41:297.

Lineback, D.R. 1984. The starch granule. Organization and properties. Baker's Dig. 58(2):16.

Lund, D. 1984. Influence of time, temperature, moisture, ingredients, and processing conditions on starch gelatinization. CRC Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition 20:249.

Maningat, C.C. and Seib, P.A. 1987. Starch: Occurrence, isolation, and properties of starch granules. AACC Short Course Report-"Starch: Structure, Properties, and Food Uses" December 3-4, Los Angeles, CA.

Manners, D.J. and Matheson, N.K. 1981. The fine structure of amylopectin. Carbohydrate Res. 90:99.

Mathlouthi, M. and Luu, D.V. 1980. Laser-Raman spectra of Dglucose and sucrose in aqueous solution. Carbohydrate Res. 81:203.

Mathlouthi, M., Luu, C., Meffroy-Biget, A.M. and Luu, D.V. 1980. Laser-Raman study of solute-solvent interactions in aqueous solutions of D-fructose, D-glucose, and sucrose. Carbohydrate Res. 81:213.

Maurice, T.J., Slade, L., Strett, R.R., and Page, C.M. 1985. Polysaccharide-water interactions - thermal behavior of rice starch. In "Properties of Water in Foods," D. Simatos and

J.L. Multon (Ed.), p.211. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht. Netherlands.

Nagashima, N. and Suzuki, E. 1981. Pulsed NMR and state of water in foods. In "Water Activity: Influence on Food Quality," L.B. Rockland and G.F. Stewart (Ed.), p.247. Academic Press, New York.

Nikuni, Z. 1978. Studies on starch granules. Starch 30:105.

Osman, E.M. 1975. Interaction of starch with other components of food systems. Food Technol. 29(4):30.

Richardson, S.J. 1989. Contribution of proton exchange to the oxygen-17 nuclear magnetic resonance transverse relaxation rate in water and starch-water systems. Cereal Chem. 66:224.

Richardson, S.J., Baianu, I.C., and Steinberg, M.P. 1986a. Mobility of water in wheat flour suspensions as studied by proton and oxygen-17 nuclear magnetic resonance. J. Agric. Food Chem. 34:17.

Richardson S.J., Baianu, I.C., and Steinberg, M.P. 1986b. Mobility of water in sucrose solutions determined by deuterium and oxygen-17 nuclear magnetic resonance. J. Food Sci. 52:806.

Richarsdon, S.J., Baianu, I .C., and Steinberg, M.P. 1987a. Mobility of water in corn starch suspension determined by deuterium and oxygen-17 nuclear magnatic resonance. Starch 39:79

Richardson, S.J., Baianu, I.C., and Steinberg, M.P. 1987b. Mobility of water in starch-sucrose systems determined by deuterium and oxygen-17 NMR. Starch 39:302.

Richardson, S.J. and Steinberg, M.P. 1987. Applications of nuclear magnetic resonance. Chp. 11. In "Water Activity: Theory and Applications to Food," L.B. Rockland and L.R. Beuchat (Ed.), p.235. IFT basic symposium series. Marcel Dekker, Inc.

Robin, J.P., Mercier, C., Charbonniere, R., and Guilbot, J.A. 1974. Linterized starches. Gel filtration and enzymatic studies of insoluble residues from prolonged acid treatment of potato starch. Cereal Chem. 51:389.

Slade, L. and Levine, H. 1987. Recent advances in starch retrogradation. In "Industrial Polysaccharides; The Impact of Biotechnology and Advanced Methodologies," S.S. Stivola, V. Crescenzi, and I.K.M. Dea (Ed.), p.387. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York.

Sobczynska, D., Setser, C., Lim, H., Hansen, L.M., and Pausktelis, J.V. 1990. Use of 13C and 170 NMR to study wheat starch-water-sugar interactions with increasing temperature. In "The Applications of NMR in Food and Agricultural Chemistry," J.W. Finley and R.E. Lundin (Ed.), ACS Symposium Series. (Agricultural and Food Division 1988. ACS Annual Meeting, Los Angeles, CA). American Chemical Society, Washington, DC. In press.

Spies, R.D. and Hoseney, R.C. 1982. Effect of sugars on starch gelatinization. Cereal Chem. 59:128.

Suggett, A. 1976. Molecular motion and interaction in a aqueous carbohydrate solutions. III. A combined nuclear magnetic and dielectric strategy. J. Soln. Chem. 5:33.

Suggett, A., Ablett, S., and Lillford, P.J. 1976. Molecular motion and interactions in aqueous carbohydrate solutions II. Nuclear-magnetic-relaxation studies. J. Soln. Chem. 5:17.

Suggett, A. and Clark, A.H. 1976. Molecular motion and interactions in aqueous carbohydrate solutions. I. Dielectric-relaxation studies. J. Soln. Chem. 5:1.

Tait, M.J., Suggett, A., Franks, F., Ablett, S., and Quickenden, P.A. 1972. Hydration of monosaccharides: A study by dielectric and nuclear magnetic relaxation. J. Soln. Chem. 1:131.

Watson, S.A. 1964. Determination of starch gelatinization temperature. In "Methods in Carbohydrate Chemistry," Vol. IV: Starch. R.L. Whistler, J.N. BeMiller, and E.F. Paschall (Ed.), p.417. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL.

Whistler, R.L. and Daniel, J.R., 1985. Carbohydrates. Chap. 3. In "Food Chemistry," 2nd ed. O.R. Fennema (Ed.), p.69. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York.

Windle, J.J. 1985. An ESR spin probe study of potato starch gelatinization. Starch 37:121.

Wootton, M. and Bamunuarachchi, A. 1980. Application of differential scanning calorimetry to starch gelatinization. III. Effect of sucrose and sodium chloride. Starch 32:126.

Wynne-Jones, S. and Blanshard, J.M.V. 1986. Hydration studies of wheat starch, amylopectin, amylose gels and bread

-----

by proton magnetic resonance. Carbohy. Polymers 6:289.

Zeleznak, K.J. and Hoseney, R.C. 1987. The glass transition in starch. Cereal Chem. 64:121.

Zobel, H.F. 1984. Gelatinization of starch and mechanical properties of starch pastes. Ch. IX. In "Starch, Chemistry and Technology," 2nd ed. R.L. Whistler, J.N. BeMiller, and E.F. Paschall (Ed.), p.285. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL. CHAPTER 1

<sup>17</sup>O NMR STUDIES ON WHEAT STARCH-SUCROSE-WATER INTERACTIONS

WITH INCREASING TEMPERATURE

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_

## ABSTRACT

Water mobility in wheat starch-sucrose-water dispersions was observed by measuring the transverse relaxation rate  $(R_2)$  from the <sup>17</sup>O nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra. As temperature was increased (35 to 81C), sucrose resulted in an increase in the  $R_2$ , or a decrease in the mobility of water and the temperature of  $R_2$  maximum. Starch increased the  $R_2$  by decreasing the mobility of water in the sample systems. The results showed that the decreased water mobility was also caused by a sucrose-starch interaction. The onset temperature of starch gelatinization, as determined by differential scanning calorimeter (DSC), appeared to occur a few degrees after the water reached its  $R_2$  maximum.

### INTRODUCTION

Quality of food products is altered by the temperature at which starch gelatinizes in relation to other occurences and ingredients within each product. During gelatinization of starch, many changes are influenced by the water. The importance of the amount of water on the gelatinization of starch in a starch-water dispersion has been reported by many researchers using DSC measurements (Collison and Chilton, 1974; Donovan, 1979; Biliaderis et al., 1980; Eliasson, 1980; Ghiasi et al., 1982; Burt and Russell, 1983). Studying the dynamic condition, or states, of water with nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) techniques enables one to understand the molecular changes in a system (Jaska, 1971; Leung et al., 1979; Leung and Steinberg 1979; Lechart et al., 1980; Lechart, 1981; Nagashima and Suzuki, 1981; Lang and Steinberg, 1983; Wynne-Jones and Blanshard, 1986; Richardson et al., 1987a, b, d; Fanni et al., 1989).

NMR techniques have been developed to observe molecular mobilities in a variety of foods systems such as instant starch gel, protein, sucrose solution, wheat doughs, breads, vegetables (Leung et al., 1979, 1983; Lechart et al., 1980; Halle et al., 1981; Lang and Steinberg 1983; Richardson et al., 1987a; Richardson, 1988). The <sup>17</sup>O NMR has been shown to be the most effective of NMR methods for the observation of

water mobility (Halle et al., 1981; Richardson et al., 1986, 1987a,b,c).

Most NMR studies on starch systems involved heating the system to a defined temperature and investigating the associated changes from the heating (Lechert, 1981; Richardson et al., 1986). New NMR techniques had to be developed to study dynamic changes in the wheat starch-sugar-water systems as the heating occurred (Hansen et al., 1987, 1989). The temperature range studied corresponded to that used in thermal processing of a food product and the experiments were designed to elucidate the mechanism(s) operative in gelatinization process of starch in the presence of sucrose.

When sucrose is added to a starch-water dispersion, gelatinization of starch is retarded. Onset temperature of starch gelatinization is increased as sucrose concentration increases. Several mechanisms have been suggested for that phenomenon (Bean et al., 1978; Evans and Haisman, 1982; Spies and Hoseney, 1982; Lelievre, 1984). Starch-water interactions, sucrose-water interactions, starch-water-sucrose interactions or all of those interactions are probably involved in the suggested mechanisms. Therefore, observations of changing water mobility as the temperature is increased might give us a better understanding of the starch gelatinization process

37

regarding water interactions with starch and/or sucrose. The objectives of this study were: 1) to observe the mobility or binding of water in wheat starch-sucrose-water systems by measuring the transverse relaxation rate (R<sub>2</sub>) from <sup>17</sup>O NMR measurements with increasing temperature from 35 to 87C (308-360K); 2) to study sucrose-water, starch-water, and sucrose-starch-water interactions in the wheat starch-water-sucrose dispersions; and 3) to compare <sup>17</sup>O NMR observations with DSC measurements of thermal transitions of the starch-water-sucrose dispersions as temperature is increased.

## METHODS AND MATERIALS

## Sample preparation

Wheat starch (Aytex<sup>2</sup>, Olgivie Mills, Inc.) was used for all investigations. Reagent grade sucrose (Fisher Scientific) was used for sucrose-starch systems. Reverse osmosis distilled water with 0.5% enriched <sup>17</sup>O water ( $H_2$ <sup>17</sup>O; ISOTEC INC., A Matheson Co.) was prepared with 0.15% xanthan gum (Ketrol T, Kelco) solution that were used to disperse the starch to prevent sedimentation (Hansen et al., 1987). Sucrose solutions of 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 M concentrations were

prepared using the enriched <sup>17</sup>O water-xanthan gum solution as the solvent. The 10, 20, and 30% (weight basis) starch suspensions were prepared with each pre-prepared sucrose dispersion. The pH ranged between 5.4 and 6.3 for all dispersions. All dispersions were made and stored at  $4\pm1C$ overnight and allowed to equilibrate at room temperature  $(22\pm2C)$  just prior to making the NMR spectroscopic measurements. For the DSC measurements, each concentration of a sucrose solution was injected into the DSC pan containing the starch at either a 10, 20, or 30% level (weight basis). The DSC pan was sealed hermetically.

#### NMR measurement

A Bruker WM-400 NMR spectrometer was used for the "O NMR spectra. Samples were injected into a 10mm (id) NMR sample tube. Data for enriched "O water  $(H_2,"O)$  solutions were acquired with the following conditions: a spectrometer frequency of 54.234 MHz, a sweep width of 5000 Hz, a 90° pulse width of 27.0 us, 4K data points, broad band proton ('H) decoupling and a 205 msec acquistion time with no delay. The 'H decoupling helps to decrease or eliminate proton exchange broadening in the neutral pH ranges (Richardson, 1989). Under those conditions, adequate signal to noise was achieved in 128 pulses. Running temperature

39

was from 35 to 87C (308-360K) and samples were held 5 min at each 2K temperature interval before data was acquired. Lineshapes were evaluated in terms of least squares fit of the peaks to a Lorentzian equation after application of a 10 Hz line broadening. Linewidths ( $\Delta V_{\infty}$ ) were half-height values for the water peak at each temperature. Transverse relaxation rate (R<sub>1</sub>; sec<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated according to Richardson et al. (1987b):

$$R_{1} (sec^{-1}) = \pi (\Delta V_{obs}) = 1/T_{1}$$

The R, was determined for each spectra using the fit of the peak to a Lorentzian lineshape, which was confirmed by direct measurements from the plot.

The primary assumptions made for the "O NMR measurement in this study were: 1) Line width is the same as  $T_1$ ; this is not always true but is convenient for small molecules such as water. 2) Only one type of "O present; that nuclei was measured.

#### DSC measurement

A Perkin-Elmer DSC-4 with a Fis Systems Flexicooler temperature controller was used for the determination of thermal properties of all sample systems. A sensitivity of

0.5 mcal/sec, heating rate of 2.5C/min and chart speed of 5mm/min were used. Samples were heated from 10 to 130C. An empty pan was used as the reference. Enthalpies (AH) of the phase transitions were determined from the areas of the endotherms and were expressed as cal/g dry starch. For each wheat starch-sucrose-water system, the mean and standard deviation of least three determinations were obtained.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# Comparisons of R, at various starch concentrations

In the "O NMR measurements, transverse relaxation rate (R,) directly monitors water mobility. According to this, a change of water mobility is detectable based on the extent of hydrogen bonding or interaction with other molecules. As the amount of water associatied with the starch changes and the temperature increases, a changing R, value is observed. The R, changes of 10, 20, 30, and 60% starch-water dispersions with increasing temperature are shown in Fig. 1. Results observed on the 30% starch system in Fig. 1 indicate R, increased from approximately 49C (322K) to a maximum value at 53C (326K) and then gradually decreased as temperature increased. After the R, maximum, the point of

41

minimum water mobility, the gradual decrease of R, indicated a smaller and less rapid increase in water mobility was obtained. In a previous study, increasing R<sub>2</sub> in NMR measurements corresponded with the loss of birefrigence or swelling of starch granules (Jaska, 1971). Starch gelatinization is a continuous process involving the melting of crystallites and swelling of granules in the presence of water as the temperature is increased. When a starch-water dispersion is stored overnight after preparation, the starch granules will absorb the available water from the system and swell to some extent. As starch granules swell, water is entrapped in the amorphous phase of the starch granule and immobilized inside of the granule. This swelling stage might be reversible (Jaska, 1971; Maningat and Seib, 1987). As temperature increases, the granules of starch in the dispersion continuously swell and begin to lose their crystallinity. At the point of R<sub>2</sub> maximum, probably the water was fully entrapped inside the starch granule and had the least mobility in the dispersion. After maximum swelling, water mobility gradually increased as temperature increased. Solubilization of starch granules could cause increased water mobility after maximum swelling (French, 1984). Therefore, the increases in water mobility within the granule that were observed after the R<sub>2</sub> maximum probably were caused by an increased mobility of the macromolecules



Fig. 1 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_2)$  of the starchwater dispersions as a function of increasing temperature (  $\bigcirc --\bigcirc$ ; 10%,  $\bigtriangleup ---\bigstar$ ; 20%,  $\square --\boxdot \square$ ; 30%, and  $\bigcirc --\multimap$ ; 60% starch concentration).

in the system as Jaska (1971) suggested.

On the other hand, Bean and Yamazaki (1978) mentioned that the diameter of the starch granules using hot stage microscopy correspondingly increased with viscosity as measured by amylograph between 58 and 70C. Thus, the increased viscosity that occurred during the swelling of the granules (Maningat and Seib, 1987) possibly contributed to the decreased water mobility observed. Logically, a higher viscosity with increasing starch concentrations would imply a lower water mobility in the system. The dotted line in Fig. 1 depicts a water only (free water) system. The R, in the free water and the 10% starch dispersion were similar. The 10% starch system had less water associated with starch compared to other systems. Therefore, the higher water mobility indicate the more free water available in the system over the entire temperature range.

A small fraction of water molecules hydrogen bonded to macromolecules increases the R<sub>2</sub> (Fung, 1977). Generally water binds to the macromolecules more at higher macromolecule concentrations. Therefore, the 60% starch system probably had more water associated with starch than the other three systems.

The main reason of running samples up to 87C (360K) was to make sure all samples, even high concentration systems (eg. 30% starch-1.5M sucrose-water dispersion), were

measured in high enough temperature ranges for the complete gelatinization process. In all Figures in this study, inexplainable curves were noted above 79C (352K). Air bubbles or broken gels were found in some samples after measurements. Possibly the instrument measured oxygen of not only in sample system but also of bubbles as an artifact of heating.

# Comparisons of R<sub>2</sub> at various sucrose concentrations

Fig. 2 contains comparisons of R, for water at varied sucrose concentrations. The overall relaxation rate (R<sub>1</sub>) gradually increases as concentration of sucrose-water solution increases and decreases as temperature increases. In previous work, the NMR lines were broadened by viscosity effects at higher concentrations in sugar solutions and thus decreased the water mobility (Tiat et al., 1972; Allen and Wood, 1974 ). Generally molecular motion is faster at higher temperature. Tiat and co-workers (1972) reported that the R, from a single spin-echo measurement increased with glucose concentration and showed a linear relationship with / T that followed the extended Debye equation (  $_r = 4\pi a^3 / T$ ). Although they observed a linear relationship over wide temperature range (2-63C) for 2.8 M glucose-water solution, R, had an inverse relationship with

45



Fig. 2 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_2)$  of the sucrosewater solutions as a function of increasing temperature  $(\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc ; 0.5M, \bigtriangleup ); 1.0M, \bigcirc \bigcirc ; 1.5M, and \bigtriangledown );$ saturated sucrose concentration).

temperature for sucrose-water solutions in this study (Fig. 2). According to the extended Debye equation, R, relates to viscosity proportionally and to temperature inversely. Schneider (1963) found that intrinsic viscosity showed an inverse relationship with temperature at 30% and up to 86% concentrations in the measurements of sucrose solution viscosities over a temperature range of 5-80C.

Futhermore, Suggett et al. (1976) suggested that a small fraction of the solvent has a reduced mobility as a result of its interaction with the solute. Actually the fraction of solvent (hydration water) is decreased as solute concentration is increased. Therefore, it would be expected that the <sup>17</sup>O transverse relaxation rate ( $R_2$ ) was higher with increasing solute (sucrose) concentrations until the saturated sucrose concentration was reached.

According to Richardson et al. (1987c), sucrose solution at concentrations ranging from 5 to 40% (0.5 and 1.0M sucrose systems) showed linear relationships between R, and concentration based on the population of water associated more with sucrose ('solute water') and water less associated with sucrose ('free water') in the 20C system. They assumed nonlinearity in the region from 40 to 60% that resulted from: i) formation of intermolecular hydrogen bonds between water and sucrose; ii) hydrogen bond bridging of water and sucrose molecules; and iii) sucrose-sucrose

47

hydrogen bonding. On the other hand, the fact that dissolved sugars enhance the degree of hydrogen bonding in aqueous solutions supports the concept that the sucrose-water interaction is stronger than either the sucrose-sucrose or the water-water interaction (Allen and Wood, 1974). Therefore, even though the attraction between sucrose and water increases in the temperature range of 0-25C (Garrod and Herrington, 1970), water mobility could be reduced with viscosity increases as sucrose concentration is increased via sucrose-water interaction and/or other possible interactions as was noted in this study. Thus, the overall tendency of water mobility to increase at the high temperature in each system possibly was from the decreasing viscosity of the systems that allowed faster molecular motion.

# Wheat starch-sucrose-water dispersions

Effect of increasing sucrose concentrations The changes in R, with the varied sucrose concentrations as a function of temperature at 10, 20, and 30% starch concentrations are graphed in Fig. 3, 4, and 5, respectively. The overall tendency of R, to increase with temperature is similar for 10, 20, and 30% starch concentrations. However, if sucrose concentration was

48



Fig. 3 - Transverse relaxation rates (R<sub>i</sub>) of the 10% starch-sucrose; O—O -0.0M, ▲ - ▲ -0.5M, □ - □ -1.0M, and ● - ● -1.5M concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.



Fig. 4 - Transverse relaxation rates (R<sub>1</sub>) of the 20%
starch-sucrose; O-0.0M, ▲-▲ -0.5M, □-□ -1.0M, and
●--● -1.5M concentration-water dispersions as a
function of increasing temperature.



Fig. 5 - Transverse relaxation rates (R₁) of the 30% starch-sucrose; O - 0.0M, ▲ - ▲ -0.5M, □ - □ -1.0M, and ● - ● -1.5M concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.

increased from 0 to 1.5M at the same starch concentration, R, and the temperature at which R, maximum occurred were gradually increased.

Possibly water mobility decreases with viscosity from the sucrose concentration through the sucrose (solute)-water interaction as observed in Fig. 2. The temperature differences for the R, maximum among samples at the same starch concentration depended on the sucrose concentration. For example, at the 30% starch concentration (Fig. 5), the temperature of R, maximum appeared at about 53, 57, 63, and 71C for 0, 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5M sucrose concentrations, respectively.

This result corresponds with the increased onset temperature of gelatinization in the presence of increased sucrose concentrations noted with DSC measurements (Table 1). The temperatures observed by R, are about 5° earlier than the DSC onset temperature of gelatinization. These results agreed with Hansen et al. (1989). They observed major deviations of chemical shift of sucrose prior to onset temperature of gelatinization with "C NMR measurements. Changes in chemical shifts of sucrose between sucrose solution and sucrose-starch dispersions were speculated as an interaction between the sucrose and starch rather than as conformational changes in the sucrose directly interacted with

52

Dispersion	Onset temp(°C)	Peak temp (°C)	△H (Cal/g)
10% starch	55.1	59.2	0.3518
10% - 0.5M	60.9±0.56	65.3±0.27	0.1068±0.01
10% - 1.0M	67.9±1.40	72.6±0.90	0.1003±0.04
10% - 1.5M	76.8±9.37	81.6±0.65	0.1240±0.01
20% - 0.5M	61.3±0.85	65.6±0.32	0.2111±0.01
20% - 1.0M	68.3±0.92	72.6±0.40	0.2063±0.03
20% - 1.5M	75.9±0.66	80.6±0.66	0.2262±0.02
30% starch	55.5	60.5	0.5811
30% - 0.5M	61.6±1.22	66.0±0.80	0.2943±0.06
30% - 1.0M	69.1±0.68	73.4±0.52	0.3100±0.02
30% - 1.5M	77.5±0.34	82.0±0.51	0.3099±0.02

Table 1 - Comparison of mean' onset temperature, peak temperature and enthalpies (AH) for various concentration of starch-sucrose-water dispersions

\* Mean of least three measurements

53

. . \_\_\_ . . . .

the glucose residues of the starch to increase the rigidity prior to the onset temperature of gelatinization creating a need for more energy to break the starch-sucrose-water bonds. Thus, the increase in onset temperature of gelatinization observed by DSC measurements (Table 1), and the increased temperature for the point of R, maximum (Fig. 3, 4, and 5) as sucrose concentration of the system increased are noted in this regard. This possibility was also suggested earlier by Spies and Hoseney (1982) in two ways: 1) sucrose-starch interactions are the result of sugar molecules bonding with starch in the amorphous region to form a bridge between the chains. Bridges would restrict the flexibility of the chains and delay the gelatinization temperature by requiring more energy to strip the newly created crystallites. 2) Sucrose-water interactions are indicated if sugar or any small solute is added to water by a decreased water activity of the system in the presence of the hydrophilic sucrose molecules. Thus, the ability of water to interact with other components in the system decreased, resulting in higher energy requirements.

Effect of increasing starch concentrations The change of R, with 10, 20 and 30% starch concentrations at the 0, 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5M sucrose concentrations are illustrated in Fig. 6, 7, 8, and 9, respectively. Increasing the proportion of starch relative to the sucrose

resulted in increased R, values, but the water mobility changes at the various starch concentrations at the same sucrose concentration occurred within a relatively narrow temperature range. The swelling of starch granules causes large changes in water mobility via a starch-water interaction or changing of viscosity with temperature (Fig. 1). The R<sub>2</sub> differences observed in Fig. 6 through 9 appear to reflect the differences of starch concentrations rather than sucrose concentrations. The greater starch (polymer)-water interaction probably resulted in a higher R. Both the R. level and the temperature of the R. maximum increased as sucrose concentrations increased at the same starch concentration, but apparently starch was more responsible for the decreasing water mobility and sucrose was more responsible for increasing the temperature at which the R, maximum (minimum mobility) occurred.

All results (Fig. 3 through 9) imply that a wheat starch-sucrose interaction or a starch-sucrose-water interaction influences the change in water mobility. The starch-water interaction increased the R<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 1), and an increased R, also was observed with the sucrose-water interaction via viscosity (Fig. 2). The high starch and sucrose concentrations resulted in the high R, values (Fig. 3 through 9), indicating a lower water concentration and higher R, via both polymer and solute effects. Generally,

55


Fig. 6 - Transverse relaxation rates (R₁) of the starch; O—O -10%, ▲ -20%, and □—O -30% starch concentration-0.0M sucrose-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.



Fig. 7 - Transverse relaxation rates (R₁) of the starch; O—O -10%, ▲—▲ -20%, and □—□ -30% starch concentration-0.5M sucrose-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.



Fig. 8 - Transverse relaxation rates (R₂) of the starch; O-O -10%, ▲---▲ -20%, and □---□ -30% starch concentration-1.0M sucrose-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.



Fig. 9 - Transverse relaxation rates (R₁) of the starch; O-O -10%, A-A -20%, and D-O -30% starch concentration-1.5M sucrose-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.

the higher R, indicated a greater polymer-water or solutewater interaction. This agrees again with the results of Suggett et al. (1976) and Richardson et al. (1987a,b,c).

If we consider starch as a partially crystalline, glassy polymer (Maurice et al., 1985; Bilaideris et al., 1980), water acts as a plasticizer for starch to depress the glass transition temperature of the amorphous regions and permit sufficient mobility of chains for the crystallites to melt. However, when solutes such as a sucrose are added to the system, less water is available to act as a plasticizer and the swelling of starch granules could be restrained. Consequently, the gelatinization temperature is increased and enthalpy decreased (Table 1) and the overall water mobility is decreased (Chungcharoen and Lund, 1987). Water uptake by the systems is controlled by the chemical potential of water. The assumption was made that the measurements were made after an equilibrium of the chemical potential of water had been reached. In the starch-sucrose-water dispersions, Sobczynska et al. (1990) hypothesized that the sucrose of the solution interacts with granules just prior to starch-water interactions. A lowered chemical potential of water in the sucrose solutions precludes the same extent of granule swelling that occurs in the absence of sucrose (Lelievre, 1984; Sobczynska et al., 1990). Presumably, sucrose-water interactions or

60

sucrose-starch interactions lowered the chemical potential of water by decreasing water activity (the available water) or forming bridges between starch chains before starch-water interaction occurred (Spies and Hoseney, 1982). Therefore, the starch-water, sucrose-water, sucrose-starch, and starch-sucrose-water interactions observed in this study all appear to agree with previous results.

### CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Wheat starch and sucrose both increased the  $R_2$  or decreased the mobility of water through interactions with water.
- Wheat starch concentrations seem to increase the overall R, values.
- 3. Sucrose concentrations seem to increase the temperature at which the R<sub>2</sub> maximum is reached.
- 4. The melting of starch or the onset temperature of starch gelatinization as determined by DSC appears to occur after the water reaches its R, maximum.

#### REFERENCES

Allen, A.J. and Wood, R.M. 1974. Molecular association in the sucrose-water system. Sugar Technol. Rev. 2:165.

Bean, M.M. and Yamazaki, W.T. 1978. Wheat starch gelatinization in sugar solutions. I. Sucrose: microscopy and viscosity effects. Cereal Chem. 55:936.

Bean, M.M., Yamazaki, W.T., Donelson, D.H. 1978. Wheat starch gelatinization in sugar solution. II. Fructose, glucose, and sucrose: Cake performance. Cereal Chem. 55:945.

Biliaderis, C.G., Maurice, Y.J. and Vose, J.R. 1980. Starch gelatinization phenomena studied by differential scanning calorimetry. J. Food Sci. 45:1669.

Burt, D.J. and Russell, P.L. 1983. Gelatinization of low water content wheat starch-water mixtures: A combined study by differential scanning calorimetry and light microscopy. Starch 35:354.

Chungcharoen, A. and Lund, D.B. 1987. Influence of solutes and water on rice starch gelatinization. Cereal Chem. 64:240.

Collison, R. and Chilton, W.G. 1974. Influence of solutes and water on rice starch gelatinization. Cereal Chem. 58:513.

Donovan, J.W. 1979. Phase transitions of the starch-water system. Biopolymers 18:263.

Eliasson, A.C. 1980. Effect of water content on the gelatinization of wheat starch. Starch 32:270.

Evans, I.D. and Haisman, D.R. 1982. The effects of solutes on the gelatinization temperature range of potato starch. Starch 34:224.

Fanni, J., Canet, D., Elbayed, K. and Hardy, J. 1989. <sup>1</sup>H and "Na NMR relaxation studies of the Nacl/B-lactoglobulin system equilibrated at various water activities. J. Food Sci. 54:909.

French, D. 1984. Organization of starch granules. Chap. VII. In "Starch, Chemistry and Technology," 2nd ed. R.L.

62

- ----

Whistler, J.V. BeMiller, and E.F. Paschall (Ed.), p.183. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL.

Fung, B.M. 1977. Proton and deutron relaxation of muscle water overwide ranges of resonance frequencies. Biophys. J. 18:235.

Garrod, J.E. and Herrington, T.M. 1970. Apparent molar volumes and temperatures of maximum density of dilute aqueous sucrose solutions. J. Phys. Chem. 74:363.

Ghiasi, K., Hoseney, R.C. and Varriano-Marston, E. 1982. Gelatinization of wheat starch. 111. Comparison of differential scanning calorimetry and light microscope. Cereal Chem. 59:258.

Halle, B., Anderson, T., Forsen, S. and Lindman, B. 1981. Protein hydration from oxygen-17 magnetic relaxation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103:500.

Hansen, L.M., Paukstelis, J.V., and Setser, C.S. 1987. <sup>13</sup>C nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopic methods for investigating sucrose-starch interactions with increasing temperature. Cereal Chem. 64:449.

Hansen, L.M., Setser, C.S., and Paukstelis, J.V. 1989. Investigations of sugar-starch interactions using carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance. I. Sucrose. Cereal Chem. 66:411.

Jaska, E. 1971. Starch gelatinization as detected by proton magnetic resonance. Cereal Chem. 48:437.

Lang, K. and Steinberg, M.P. 1983. Characterization of polymer and solute bound water by pulsed NMR. J. Food Sci. 48:517.

Lechert, H.T. 1981. Water binding on starch: NMR studies on native and gelatinized starch. In "Water Activity Influences on Food Quality," L.B. Rockland and G.F. Stuart (Ed.), p.223. Academic Press, Inc., New York.

Lechert, H., Maiwald, W., Kother, R. and Basler, W.D. 1980. NMR study of water in some starches and vegetables. J. Food Proc. and Preserv. 3:275.

Lelievre, J. 1984. Effects of sugars on the swelling of cross-linked potato starch. J. Colloid and Interface Sci. 101:225.

Leung, H.K., Magnuson, J.A., and Bruinsma, B.L. 1979. Pulsed nuclear magnetic resonance study of water mobility in flour doughs. J. Food Sci. 44:1408.

Leung, H.K., Magnuson, J.A., and Bruinsma, B.L. 1983. Water binding of wheat flour doughs and breads as studied by deuteron relaxation. J. Food Sci. 48:95.

Leung, H.K. and Steinberg, M.P. 1979. Water binding of food constituents as determined by NMR, Freezing, sorption and dehydration. J. Food Sci. 44:1212.

Maningat. C.C. and Seib, P.A. 1987. Starch: Occurrence, isolation, and properties of starch granules. AACC Short Course Report-"Starch: Structure, Properties, and Food Uses," December 3-4, Los Angeles, CA.

Maurice, T.J., Slade, L., Sirett, R.R. and Page, C.M. 1985. Polysaccharide-water interactions - thermal bahavior of rice starch. In "Properties of Water in Foods," D. Simatos and S.L. Multon (Ed.), p.211. Martinus Nijhoff Publ. Dordrecht. Netherlands.

Nagashima, N. and Suzuki, E. 1981. Pulsed NMR and state of water in foods. In "Water Activity : Influences on food quality," L.B. Rockland.and G.F. Stewart (Ed.), p.247. Academic Press, Inc.

Richardson, S.J. 1988. Molecular mobilities of instant starch gels determined by oxygen-17 and carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance. J. Food Sci. 53:1175.

Richardson, S.J. 1989. Contribution of proton exchange to the oxygen-17 nuclear magnetic resonance transverse relaxation rate in water and starch-water systems. Cereal Chem. 66:244.

Richardson, S.J., Baianu, I.C., and Steinberg, M.P. 1986. Mobility of water in wheat flour suspensions as studied by proton and oxygen-17 nuclear magnetic resonance. J. Agr. and Food Chem. 34:17.

Richardson, S.J., Baianu, I.C., and Steinberg, M.P. 1987a. Mobility of water in sucrose solutions determined by deuterium and oxygen-17 nuclear magnetic resonance. J. Food Sci. 52:806.

Richardson, S.J., Baianu, I.C., and Steinberg, M.P. 1987b. Mobility of water in starch-sucrose systems determined by deuterium and oxygen-17 NMR. Starch 39:302.

Richardson, S.J., Baianu, I.C., and Steinberg, M.P. 1987c. Mobility of water in starch powders determined by nuclear

magnetic resonance. Starch 39:198.

Richardson, S.J., Steinberg, M.P., De Vor, R.E., and Sutherland, J.W. 1987d. Characterization of the oxygen-17 nuclear magnetic resonance water mobility response surface. J. Food Sci. 52:189.

Schneider, F., Schliephake, D. and Klimmek, A. 1963. Zucker 16:465. [In Sugar Technol. Rev. (1974) 2:165.]

Sobczynska, D., Setser, C., Lim, H., Hansen, L. and Paukstelis, J.V. 1990. Use of <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>17</sup>O NMR to study wheat starch-water-sugar interactions with increasing temperatures. In "The applications of NMR in Food and Agricultural Chemistry," J.W. Finley and R.F. Lundin (Ed.), ACS Symposium Series. American Chemical Society. Washington, DC. In press.

Spies, R.D., Hoseney, R.C. 1982. Effect of sugars on starch gelatinization. Cereal Chem. 59:128.

Suggett, A., Ablett, S. and Lillford, P.J. 1976. Molecular motion and interactions in aqueous carbohydrate solutions. 11. Nuclear-magnetic-relaxation studies. J. Solution Chem. 5:17.

Tiet, M.J., Suggett, A., Franks, F., Ablett, S. and Quickenden, P.A. 1972. Hydration of monosaccharides : A study by dielectric and nuclear magnetic relaxation. J. Solution Chem. 1:131.

Wynne-Jones, S. and Blanshard, J.M.V. 1986. Hydration studies of wheat starch, amylo pectin, amylose gels and bread by proton magnetic resonance. Carbohydrate Polymers 6:289.

CHAPTER 2

COMPARISONS OF WATER MOBILITY AMONG FOUR DIFFERENT SUGARS; GLUCOSE, MALTOSE, MALTOTRIOSE, AND SUCROSE

## ABSTRACT

Water mobility in wheat starch-sugar (glucose, maltose, maltotriose, and sucrose)-water dispersions was observed as temperature was increased from 35 to 87C by measuring the transverse relaxation rate (R<sub>2</sub>) from the <sup>17</sup>O nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra. The changes of water mobility with different sugar dispersions exhibited similar patterns. The increased temperatures at which the R, maximum occurred and the magnitude of the R, maximum increased as molecular weight and concentration of sugar dispersions were increased. Structural differences appeared to influence the rate of water mobility changes at the same molecular weight. Limited starch granule swelling seemed to occur with the 20% starch-1.5M maltotriose-water dispersion and to influence the changes of R<sub>2</sub>.

#### INTRODUCTION

Some work has indicated that a water's ability to act as a plasticizing agent during starch gelatinization generally decreases as molecular weight and concentration of sugar solution is increased (Chungcharoen and Lund, 1987; Slade and Levine, 1987). Longer sugars up to molecular weight of 800 (Brown and French, 1977) can bridge a larger space and induce more bonding with starch chains than shorter sugars. The flexibility of the starch chains would be diminished, and the gelatinization temperature increased requiring more energy to pull the crystallites apart (Spies and Hoseney, 1982).

Solutions of monosaccharides, such as glucose and fructose, increased the gelatinization temperature of starch but to a lesser extent than disaccharides, such as sucrose or maltose (Osman, 1975; Bean et al., 1978; Hansen et al., 1989). Spies and Hoseney (1982) reported, however, that sucrose raised onset temperature of gelatinization to a greater extent than maltotriose, a molecule of greater molecular weight. Slade and Levine (1987) measured 1:1:1 sugar:water:starch mixtures using DSC. They reported increasing gelatinization temperature with varied sugars in the following order: water alone < galactose < xylose < fructose < mannose < glucose < maltose < lactose <

67

maltotriose < 10-DE maltodextrin < sucrose. This increase in gelatinization temperature did not follow an increase in molecular weight for all cases. Therefore, involvement of other factors was suggested.

The ability to penetrate the starch granule and to interact with starch chains also increases gelatinization temperature (Spies and Hoseney, 1982; Hansen et al., 1989). Brown and French (1977) reported that oligosaccharides with molecular weights less than 800 are able to penetrate the starch granules along with water. In addition, sugars' ability to interact with itself and/or with water also affects water mobility and could reduce plasticizing effects and increase gelatinization temperature (Richardson et al., 1987; Hansen et al., 1989; Sobczynska et al., 1990).

The sucrose study reported in Chapter 1 showed that water mobility of a starch-sucrose-water dispersion was closely related to the starch-sucrose-water interaction. The major water mobility changes with NMR measurements occurred prior to the onset temperature of gelatinization as observed by DSC measurements in the same type starchsucrose-water dispersions. Lower molecular weights and smaller molecules, in general, had lower mobilization points (Leung et al., 1976, 1979; Duckworth, 1981). The molecular weight, structure and chain length of glucose, maltose, maltotriose and sucrose are different. Glucose, maltose, or

68

maltotriose-starch-water dispersions were compared to the sucrose system in this study 1) to observe whether glucose, maltose, and maltotriose have similar effects on water mobility as sucrose and, 2) to determine if any factor can be isolated that causes changes of water mobility in wheat starch-sugar-water model systems as temperature is increased from 35 (308K) to 87C (360K) by comparing glucose, maltose, and maltotriose .

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

All materials and methods were the same as given in Chapter 1 unless otherwise specified. The D-glucose (dextrose) and maltose were purchased from Fisher Scientific Co. and maltotriose was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. Only 20% (weight basis) wheat starch with 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5M of each sugar system was measured.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Glucose-starch-water dispersion

Changes of water mobility for 20% starch with 0, 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5M glucose concentrations (glucose dispersions)

with increasing temperature are shown in Fig. 2. Glucose dispersions (Fig. 2) showed similar changes when compared to sucrose-starch-water dispersions (sucrose dispersions) (Fig. The transverse relaxation rate  $(R_2)$  and  $R_2$  maximum 1). temperature increased with increasing glucose concentrations but to a lesser extent than did the sucrose dispersions (Fig. 1). For example, the maximum R, at 59C (332K) of the 1.5M glucose dispersion was about 250, whereas for the 1.5M sucrose dispersion this value was about 320 at 67C (340K). Likewise, the 1.0M and 0.5M glucose dispersions were lower than the values of the corresponding sucrose dispersions. These results agree with the supposition that a lower molecular weight results in a lower water mobility (Leung et al., 1976, 1979). Glucose (C.H.,O.) has six hydrogen bonding sites for water molecules compared to sucrose's eleven  $(C_{1,H_{2},O_{11}})$ . Fewer hydrogen bonding sites probably results in more free water and less restricted water mobility in the glucose dispersions that were reflected in the lower overall R, changes and increased temperatures of the R, maximum with glucose dispersions.

The DSC measurements for each system are given in Table 1 and support the NMP results. The water mobility changed prior to onset temperature of gelatinization as it did for the sucrose dispersions, and the onset temperatures of 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5M glucose dispersions were lower than for the

70



Fig. 1 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_1)$  of the 20% starch-sucrose;  $\bigcirc -\bigcirc \bigcirc - 0.0 \text{ M}$ ,  $\bigtriangleup -\bigcirc \frown 0.5 \text{M}$ ,  $\square -\square \frown -$  1.0M and  $\bigcirc -\square \bigcirc -1.5 \text{M}$  concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.



Fig. 2 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_2)$  of the 20% starch-glucose; O - O - 0.0 M,  $\bigtriangleup - 0.5\text{M}$ ,  $\Box - \Box - 1.0\text{M}$  and  $\bigcirc - 0.5\text{M}$  concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.

same concentrations of sucrose dispersions. For example, onset gelatinization temperature of the 1.5M glucose system was about 62C (335K) and, for the 1.5M sucrose dispersion, onset temperature was about 76C (349K). The pattern of the overall R, changes of glucose dispersions was similar to that of sucrose dispersions, but all changes were to a lesser degree.

# Maltose-starch-water dispersion

Changes of water mobility for 20% starch with 0, 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5M maltose concentrations (maltose dispersions) with increasing temperature are given in Fig. 3. The changes and overall patterns again were similar to the sucrose dispersion. The overall R, values and the temperature at which the R, maximum occurred were slightly lower compared to the sucrose dispersions (Fig. 1). The R, maximum for the 1.5M maltose dispersion was about 200 at 65C (338K) and about 220 at 67C (340K) for sucrose. Likewise, the DSC results were similar as indicated in Table 1; onset temperature of maltose gelatinization was slightly lower than for sucrose at each concentration, an effect that was less noticeable at the high concentration.

The molecular weight and the number of hydrogen bonding sites of maltose  $(C_{12}H_{22}O_{11}; M.W.342)$  are the same as sucrose



Fig. 3 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_1)$  of the 20% starch-maltose; O = O = 0.0 M, A = 0.5M,  $\Box = \Box = 1.0\text{M}$  and  $\bullet = \bullet = 1.5\text{M}$  concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.

(C<sub>1</sub>,H<sub>2</sub>,O<sub>1</sub>; M.W. 342). However, structurally, maltose is composed of two molecules of glucose, whereas, sucrose has a glucose (pyranose ring) and a fructose (furanose ring) in the molecule. When sucrose reorients and associates with water, the pyranose ring of glucose interacts more favorably with water molecules (Allen and Wood, 1976; Sugget and Clark, 1976; Mathlouthi and Luu, 1980; Shallenberger, 1982). The furanose ring of fructose is known to participate in intramolecular hydrogen bonding for dimerization. This dimerization between glucose and fructose molecules increases the energy of vibration, which could decrease the mobility or increase the onset temperature of gelatinization (Mathlouthi and Luu, 1980; Mathlouthi et al., 1980). In addition, sucrose chains are possibly less flexible because of the two prime carbons that have CH,OH groups in the fructose molecule (Shallenberger, 1982). Maltose interacts with the water readily because the two pyranose rings of glucose are mobile and flexible. These differences in structural features might explain the slight differences in water mobility patterns and onset temperatures of gelatinization between the two molecules having the same molecular weight.

## Maltotriose-starch-water dispersion

The changes of water mobility for 20% starch with various concentrations of maltotriose (maltotriose dispersions) shown in Fig. 4 were basically similar to the sucrose dispersions (Fig. 1). Overall, the water mobility changes and the R<sub>1</sub> maximum with maltotriose dispersions (Fig. 4) were much greater than for the same concentrations of sucrose dispersions (Fig. 1) at the same starch concentrations. The 1.0M maltotriose dispersion had a maximum R<sub>2</sub> of about 400 at 67C (340K) compared to about 270 at 61C (334K) for the 1.0M sucrose dispersion. Interestingly, 1.5M maltotriose dispersions had a smaller R, maximum (about 350 at 81C) than the 1.0M maltotriose dispersions (about 400 at 67C), even though, both are greater than was noted for the 1.5M sucrose (about 320 at 67C). The 1.5M maltotriose dispersion was expected to have a higher R, than the 1.0M maltotriose dispersion. Maltotriose has a larger molecular weight (M.W. 504) and longer chain length with three  $\alpha$ -1,4 linked molecules of glucose within the structure  $(C_{14}H_{12}O_{14})$ . The previous study (Chap. 1) with sucrose showed that starch mainly increased the R<sub>2</sub> and sucrose mainly increased the temperature at which R, maximum occurred. Therefore, swelling of starch granules that increase the R, was probably hindered by certain

76



Fig. 4 - Transverse relaxation rates  $(R_1)$  of the 20% starch-maltotriose; O - O - 0.0 M,  $\bigtriangleup - 0.5 \text{M}$ ,  $\Box - \Box$  - 1.0M and  $\bigcirc -1.5 \text{M}$  concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.

factor(s) with the 1.5M maltotriose dispersion.

Possibly, maltotriose, molecular weight of 504, is able to penetrate the starch granule as others have suggested (Brown and French, 1977; Spies and Hoseney, 1982; Hansen et al., 1989), but at the higher 1.5M concentration, less was needed to restrict starch swelling. The longer chain compared to sucrose or maltose could have resulted in more interactions with the starch chain for an equivalent concentration, which increased the temperature of starch gelatinization to a greater extent. However, because not as much maltotriose needed to penetrate the granule at the higher 1.5M concentration, more could have remained outside the granule to bind with the water, decreasing the available water outside the granule to bind with the starch, decreasing the water mobility so that it was lower than for the 1.0M system (Fig. 4). This result agrees with the thermal analysis results of DSC as shown in Table 1.

The onset temperatures of gelatinization of 0.5M, 1.0M and 1.5M maltotriose dispersions given in Table 1 were higher than those of the sucrose dispersions. This result contradicts previous studies (Spies and Hoseney, 1982; Slade and Levine, 1987) when maltotriose had lower gelatinizaton temperature than the sucrose using 1:1:1 starch:sugar:water ratio. However, the concentrations of sugar in the starchsugar-water dispersions in this study were higher. For

Dispersion	Onset temp(°C)	Peak temp (°C)	∆Н (Cal/g)
Sucrose			
0.5M 1.0M 1.5M	61.3±0.85 68.3±0.92 75.9±0.66	65.6±0.32 72.6±0.40 80.6±0.66	0.2111±0.01 0.2063±0.03 0.2262±0.02
Glucose			
0.5M 1.0M 1.5M	58.1±0.93 59.9±0.36 62.3±0.58	62.1±0.36 64.1±0.14 66.3±0.58	0.2753±0.11 0.2886±0.11 0.3076±0.13
Maltose			
0.5M 1.0M 1.5M	59.9±0.21 66.8±1.04 75.3±0.42	64.5±0.00 70.8±0.44 79.2±0.69	0.2119±0.02 0.2465±0.15 0.2395±0.06
Maltotriose			
0.5M 1.0M 1.5M	62.3±0.00 74.2±0.59 86.8±1.72	67.2±0.28 78.2±1.78 91.9±0.96	0.2377±0.01 0.2931±0.12 0.2687±0.04

Table 1 - Comparison of mean onset temperature, peak temperature and enthalpies ( $\Delta H$ ) for 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5M glucose, maltose, maltotriose and 20% starch dispersions

\* Mean of least three measurements

79

-

example, 1.0M or 1.5M maltotriose dispersion had approximately 1:2:2 or 1:3:1 starch:maltotriose:water ratios, respectively. The difference in onset temperature of gelatinization between sucrose and maltotriose dispersions in Table 1 became increasingly larger with increasing sugar concentrations. These results, higher onset temperature of gelatinization with maltotriose than sucrose are reasonable and support the idea that it increases with increased molecular weight, at least up to a point.

An interesting relationship between concentration and number of saccharide units among the sugars is also noted in Table 1. For example, 1.0M glucose (1 saccharide unit) and 0.5M maltose (half the amount of 2 saccharide units) showed almost the same onset temperature of gelatinization (59.9C). Likewise, 1.5M glucose (one and half the amount of 1 saccharide unit) and 0.5M maltotriose (half the amount of 3 saccharide units), and 1.5M maltose (one and half the amount of 3 saccharide units) and 1.0M maltotriose (3 saccharide units) also had very close onset temperature of gelatinization, 62.3 and about 75C, respectively. These results indicate that the number of saccharide unit and the concentration of sugars are likely an influential factors increasing the onset temperature of starch gelatinization.

In conclusion, the changes of water mobility with

80

different sugar dispersions exhibited similar patterns. The increased temperatures at which the R, maximum occurred and the magnitude of the R, maximum increased as molecular weight and concentration of sugar dispersions were increased. The water mobility changes occurred prior to onset temperature of gelatinization with all sugar dispersions studied. Structural differences also influenced the rate of water mobility changes and onset temperature of gelatinization at the same molecular weight. Limited starch granule swelling seemed to occur with the 20% starch-1.5M maltotriose-water dispersion and to influence the changes of R, but not the temperature that water mobility increased.

#### REFERENCES

Allen, A.T. and Wood, R.W. 1974. Molecular association in the sucrose-water system. Sugar Technol. Rev. 2:165.

Bean, M.M. and Yamazaki, W.T. 1978. Wheat starch gelatinization in sugar solution, I. Sucrose: Microscopy and viscosity effects. Cereal Chem. 55:936.

Bean, M.M., Yamazaki, W.T., and Donelson, D.H. 1978. Wheat starch gelatinization in sugar solution. II. Fructose, glucose, and sucrose: Cake performance. Cereal Chem. 55:945.

Brown, A. and French, D. 1977. Specific adsorption of starch oligosaccharide in the gel phase of starch granules. Carbohydrate Res. 59:203.

Chungcharoen, A. and Lund, D.B. 1987. Influence of solutes and water on rice starch gelatinization. Cereal Chem. 64:240.

Duckworth, R.B. 1981. Solute mobility in relation to water content and water activity. In "Water Activity: Influences on Food Quality," L.B. Rockland and G.F. Stewart (Ed.), p.295. Academic Press, Inc.

Hansen, L.M., Paukstelis, J.V., and Setser, C.S. 1987. <sup>13</sup>C nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopic methods for investigations sucrose-starch interactions with increasing temperature. Cereal Chem. 64:449.

Hansen, L.M., Setser, C.S., and Paukstelis, J.V. 1989. Investigations of sugar-starch interactions using carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance. I.Sucrose. Cereal Chem. 66:411.

Leung, H.K., Magnuson, J.A., and Bruinsma, B.L. 1979. Pulsed nuclear magnetic resonance study of water mobility in flour doughs. J. Food Sci. 44:1408.

Leung, H.K., Steinberg, M.P., Wei, L.S., and Nelson, A.I. 1976. Water binding of macromolecules determined by pulsed NMR. J. Food Sci. 41:297.

Lelievre, J. 1984. Effects of sugars on swelling of crosslinked potato starch. J. Colloid and Interface Sci. 101:225.

Mathlouthi, M. and Luu, D.V. 1980. Laser-Raman spectra of Dglucose and sucrose in aqueous solution. Carbohyd. Res. 81:203.

Mathlouthi, M., Luu, C., Meffroy-Biget, A.M. and Luu, D.V. 1980. Laser-Raman study of solute-solvent interactions in aqueous solutions of D-fructose, D-glucose, and sucrose. Carboh. Res. 81:213.

Osman, E.M. 1975. Interaction of starch with other components of food systems. Food Technol. 29(4):30.

Richardson, S.J., Baianu, I.C., and Steinberg, M.P. 1987. Mobility of water in starch-sucrose systems determined by deuterium and oxygen-17 NMR. Starch 39:302.

Shallenberger, R.S. (Ed.). 1982. Advanced Sugar Chemistry. AVI Publishing Company, Inc., Westport, CT.

Slade, L. and Levine, H. 1987. Recent advances in starch retrogradation. In "Industrial Polysaccharides; The Impact of Biotechnology and Advanced Methodologies," S.S. Stivola, V. Crescenzi, and I.K.M. Dea (Ed.), p.387. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York.

Sobczynska, D., Setser, C., Lim, H., Hansen, L.M., and Pausktelis, J.V. 1990. Use of <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>17</sup>O NMR to study wheat starch-water-sugar interactions with increasing temperature. In "The Applications of NMR in Food and Agricultural Chemistry," J.W. Finley and R.E. Lundin (Ed.). ACS Symposium Series. (Agricultural and Food Division 1988. ACS Annual Meeting, Los Angeles, CA) American Chemical Society, Washington, DC. In press.

Spies, R.D. and Hoseney, R.C. 1982. Effect of sugars on starch gelatinization. Cereal Chem. 59:128.

Suggett, A. and Clark, A.H. 1976. Molecular motion and interactions in aqueous carbohydrate solutions. I. Dielectric-relaxation studies. J.Soln. Chem. 5:1.

# CHAPTER 3

# DETERMINATION OF VARIED WATER STATES IN WHEAT

# STARCH-SUCROSE-WATER DISPERSIONS USING "O NMR MEASUREMENTS

# ABSTRACT

Amount of water associated with the starch  $(P_{pol})$ , sucrose  $(P_{sol})$ , and free water was determined using <sup>17</sup>O NMR transverse relaxation rates  $(R_2)$  with increasing temperature (35-87C). Observed values of free water  $(P_2^{obs})$  in wheat starch-sucrose-water dispersions were used for comparisons with calculated values of free water based on a theoretical model  $(P_2^{th})$ . The  $P_2^{th}$  and  $P_2^{obs}$  generally decreased as the amount of dispersed substrates were increased. The  $P_{pol}$  and  $P_{sol}$  increased as starch and sucrose concentrations were increased and, water gradually associated more with starch and less with sucrose. The sequence of changes seems to occur in the following order: component interactions, water mobility changes, and starch gelatinization.

### INTRODUCTION

Proton, deuteron or <sup>17</sup>O NMR measurements can be used to understand the states of water in polymer-solute-water systems. Water interacts in various ways with solutes or polymers in biological systems, and interactions can be important in determining macroscopic physical properties (Leung et al., 1983).

Current theories involving 'relaxation rates' determined by NMR studies are more likely to explain the behavior of water than the earlier studies measuring 'bound' and/or 'free' water in food systems (Maurice et al., 1985; Slade and Levin, 1987). This is because unstable thermodynamic states and/or non-equilibrium melting behavior exist in various food systems. However, the terms, 'bound' and/or 'free' water, remain inevitable. Generally, water associated with macromolecules ('bound' water) shows higher transverse relaxation rates (R<sub>2</sub>) with a highly restricted motion as determined with NMR measurements than water less associated with the macromocules. Thus, NMR studies observing R<sub>2</sub> can be used to speculate changes of water associated with macromolecules (components).

Varied environmental states of water are characterized by different relaxation times. In previous pulsed NMR measurements, no single exponential behavior of the

spin-echo decay curve of water was interpreted as the presence of different types of water molecules with different relaxation times corresponding to different molecular mobilities (Leung et al., 1976, 1979; Di Nola and Brosio, 1983). For example, in dry starch, free water was differentiated from water with varied degrees of 'boundness' (Tait et al., 1972; Lechert and Schwier, 1982). Polymer and solute waters had different NMR responses and both coexisted in a food as bound water (Lang and Steinberg, 1983). Types of bound water were distinguished as polymer or solute waters based on the different relaxation rates.

The amount of the different water states was determined by several researchers (Leung et al., 1976, 1979; Brosio et al., 1978; Deodhar and Luner, 1980; Di Nola and Brosio, 1983; Chinachoti and Steinberg, 1986). The T, value from pulsed NMR measurements was considered a measure of the strength of water binding by Leung et al. (1976). They reported that the amount of water in the bound fraction was consistent among six different macromolecules, while Brosio et al. (1983) reported inconsistent water binding values for powdered milk that increased as total water increased. Di Nola and Brosio (1983) reported that the amount of bound water determined by pulsed NMR, if the exchange process was considered, agreed with the amount of unfreezable water as determined by other techinques. They claimed that the

86

disagreement between the amount of bound water determined by pulsed NMR and unfreezable water determined by wide-line NMR resulted if the exchange process was ignored (Leung et al., 1976, 1979).

Chinachoti and Steinberg (1986) tried to calculate the polymer and solute waters quantitatively in a starch-sucrose model system. Equations were developed for calculating solute water and polymer water from longitudinal relaxation rate ( $T_1$ ) of a low field (10 MHz) pulsed  $H_1$  NMR and  $a_v$ . They reported high correlation ( $r^2 > 0.97$ ) between  $T_1$  and  $a_v$ . The  $T_1$  increased with increasing sucrose content and decreasing  $a_v$ .

Sobczynska et al. (1990) calculated the free, solute and polymer water in starch-sucrose-water model systems as temperature increased using <sup>17</sup>O NMR relaxation rates  $(R_1)$ . The portion of free water observed was compared to a theoretical portion that was calculated. The equations for calculating solute and polymer waters assumed that the R<sub>1</sub> of a saturated solution of solute (sucrose) and polymer (starch) were equal to the intrinsic relaxation rate for solute water and polymer water, respectively. The R<sub>2</sub> of free water also was considered as the intrinsic relaxation rate for free water.

Water in heterogeneous systems, such as a polymer-solute-water system, is usually distributed between

87

several environments as different states of water with different intrinsic relaxation rates and resonance frequencies such as polymer water, solute water and free water (Richardson et al., 1987a,c; Fanni et al., 1989). In case of a starch-sucrose-water dispersion, water associated with starch molecules (polymer water), water associated with sucrose (solute water), and bulk water, which also is referred to as free water, could be noted (Sobczynska et al., 1990).

This work extended the study of Sobczynska et al.(1990) by extending the temperature ranges to 87C (360K) and using additional starch concentrations. The objectives of this study were: 1) to determine the amount of water associated with the starch (polymer water), sucrose (solute water), and bulk water (free water); 2) to compare the amount of free water calculated by a theoretical model to the experimentally observed values obtained from measurements of transverse relaxation rates  $(R_i)$ ; 3) to check the validity of the Sobczynska et al. (1990) assumptions; and 4) to observe the changing trends of solute and polymer water mobility as temperature increases from 35 (308K) to 87C (360K) in the concentrations of wheat starch and sucrose dispersions as related to the polymer-solute-free water model system.

88

Theoretical model for portion of free, solute, and polymer water (Data Analysis)

NMR measurements of polymer, solute, and free water were related to a calculated model of water in a polymersolute-free water system at various concentrations of each component. Correlation times for the water molecules in wheat flour were calculated to be 16.7 picoseconds (ps) for a quadrupole coupling constant of I=6.67 MHz, or 45.8 ps for I=4.03 MHz (Richardson et al., 1986). Correlation times for the sucrose-water systems were calculated to range from 100 ps to 9.5 ps depending on the hydration number assumed (Richardson et al., 1987b). Correlation time for free water at 300K is 2.40 ps (Halle and Wennerstrom, 1981). In these states, the water molecules can exchange a few orders of magnitude faster than the intrinsic relaxation rates and inverse resonance frequency. Inverse resonance frequency was equal to 18.4 nanoseconds (ns); thus, it was slow enough to fulfill the extreme narrowing condition. Assuming that tnere are only three different states of water in starch-sucrose-water systems, the observed relaxation rates in extreme narrowing situations can be written as population weighted averages as was done by Sobczynska et al.(1990):

 $R_{stsu} = [P_{so1} * R_{so1}] + [P_{po1} * R_{po1}] + [P_{t} * R_{t}]$ 

89
where R=relaxation, st=starch, su=sucrose, sol=solute, pol=polymer, f=pure or free water in a specfic system, and P=proportion.

The intrinsic relaxation rate for the bulk water in a heterogeneous system is, by definition, equal to the measured rate for a sample containing only the aqueous medium. The intrinsic relaxation rates for solute water were determined from the rates measured for a saturated solution of a solute, such as a sucrose. The intrinsic relaxation rate for polymer water is equal to the rate measured for a saturated solution of a polymer such as starch.

To calculate the portion of theoretical (th) free water,  $P_r^{th}$ , in a starch-sucrose-water dispersion and to test their validity, the following assumptions had to be made:

 The starch-sucrose interaction in a starch-sucrosewater dispersion was negligible in the temperature ranges studied, and

2) The amount of water associated with starch,  $P_{pol}$ , and water associated with sucrose,  $P_{sol}$ , were the same in a starch-sucrose-water dispersion as they were for starch-water and sucrose-water systems at the same temperature and concentration.

The proportion of free water was calculated from the equation,

$$P_t^{th} = 1 - P_{pol} - P_{sol}$$
 [1]

This value was compared to the value calculated from observed experimental (obs) data using the same assumptions given above.

$$P_{f}^{obs} = (R_{stau} - P_{sol} * R_{sol} - P_{pol} * R_{pol}) / R_{f}$$
 [2]

In both cases, the portion of polymer water,  $P_{pol}$ , and the portion of the solute water,  $P_{mol}$ , were calculated from the same data used for the separate systems of starch-water and sucrose-water, respectively.

$$P_{pol} = (R_{st} - R_t) / (R_{pol} - R_t)$$
 [3]

$$P_{sol} = (R_{su} - R_{t}) / (R_{sol} - R_{t})$$
 [4]

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

All materials and methods were the same as given in Chapter 1 unless otherwise specified. A saturated sucrose solution was prepared with 0.5% enriched "O water  $(H_2, 0)$ with 0.15% xanthan gum solution by adding sucrose until sucrose crystal seeds appeared at above 90C. A 60% starch

91

concentration was considered as a saturated starch solution.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Portion of theoretical and observed free water

Theoretical free water (P,th) calculated from equation (1), for 10%, 20%, and 30% starch with 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5M sucrose concentrations as temperature increases is shown in Fig. 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Fig. 4, 5, and 6 are the based upon experimentally observed free water (P,<sup>obs</sup>) (eq. 2), for the same systems. Results from temperatures greater than 79C (352K) were not considered in this study because they likely are artifacts of heating as was noted in Chap. 1. Results show that increased starch concentration caused decreased free water availability based on observed data or the theoretically calculated values. For example, the P.th decreased as starch concentration was increased from 10% to 30% (Fig. 1-3). Sucrose concentration increases at any one concentration of starch further decreased free water. For example, the overall portion of free water was in order of 1.5M < 1.0M < 0.5M sucrose concentration at each of starch concentrations (Fig. 1-6). Fig. 4 through 6 indicate that the magnitude of the change of  $P_t^{\infty}$  increased as starch

92



Fig. 1 - Theoretical calculated portion of free water (P, h) for 10% starch-sucrose; O - O - 0.5M,  $\triangle - 1.0M$ , and  $\Box - \Box - 1.5M$  concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.



Fig. 2 - Theoretical calculated portion of free water (P, h) for 20% starch-sucrose; O - 0.5M, A - A - 1.0M, and  $\Box - \Box - 1.5M$  concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.



concentrations were increased with the different sucrose concentrations from a minimum of approximately 0.5 to a maximum value of 1.7 at 10% starch concentration (Fig. 4) to -0.5 to 3.5 at a 30% starch concentration (Fig. 6). At the same starch concentration, the  $P_e^{\infty}$  also varied with sucrose concentration over the temperature ranges studied. For example, the 20% starch with 1.5M sucrose concentration showed larger changes in the portion of P. than the 0.5M or 1.0M sucrose dispersions (Fig. 5). These results seem reasonable because, at the same macromolecule concentration, generally the higher solute concentration would restrict the motion of water more than the lower solute concentration by associating more tightly with macromolecules. Likewise, a higher macromolecule concentration could associate more tightly with solutes at the same solute concentration (Leung et al., 1979).

The overall P<sup>th</sup> for all dispersions was in order: 10% starch:0.5M sucrose > 10% starch:1.0M sucrose > 20% starch:0.5M sucrose > 10% starch:1.5M sucrose, 20% starch:1.0M sucrose > 30% starch:0.5M sucrose > 30% starch:1.0M sucrose > 20% starch:1.5M sucrose > 30% starch:1.5M sucrose. These results confirm generally the results obtained by Sobczynska et al. (1990) that free water decreases as the amount of dispersed substrates are increased. However, the results with high sucrose



Fig. 4 - Calculated portion of free water based on observations  $(P,^{\infty})$  for 10% starch-sucrose; O-O - 0.5M,  $\bigtriangleup$  - 1.0M, and  $\bigtriangleup$  - 1.5M concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.



Fig. 5 - Calculated portion of free water based on observations (P,<sup>20</sup>) for 20% starch-sucrose; O-O - 0.5M,  $\bigtriangleup$  - 1.0M, and  $\bigtriangleup$  - 1.5M concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.



Fig. 6 - Calculated portion of free water based on observations  $(P_{,}^{obs})$  for 30% starch-sucrose; O-O - 0.5M, A-A - 1.0M, and  $\Delta - \Delta$  - 1.5M concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.

concentrations do not fit the pattern as neatly. For example, the amount of total dispersed substrates in the 10% starch:1.5M sucrose dispersion (40.6%) was lower than in the 20% starch:0.5M sucrose dispersion (41.6%); and, the 20% starch:1.5M sucrose dispersion (47.2%) was lower than the 30% starch:0.5M sucrose (48.9%) and 30% starch:1.0M sucrose dispersions (£1.35%). Therefore, when sucrose concentration was high, the portion of free water did not always increase proportionally as dispersed substrates were increased. Also this was true for all sugars (glucose, maltose, and maltotriose) studied previously (Chap. 2) and, higher solute concentrations also increased the onset temperature of gelatinization with DSC measurements in this study.

The sucrose molecule  $(C_{12}H_{22}O_{11})$  has eleven oxygen atoms, and each is a potential hydrogen bonding site for water molecules. At low sucrose concentrations, as Allen and Wood (1974) pointed out, each sucrose molecule is probably strongly associated with a number of water molecules, not exceeding eleven, and these molecules are in dynamic equilibrium with the remaining free water molecules. As the sucrose concentration increases, the number of free water molecules probably decreases according to these results. Allen and Wood (1974) reported that the solution consisted of a water structure strengthened by the presence of hydrated sucrose molecules at sucrose concentrations below

100

30%. The amounts of substrates in the 1.0M and 1.5M sucrose systems with 0.15% xanthan gum were 30.5 and 34%, respectively. Futhermore, if starch is added to the sucrose solution, a competition will exist between sucrose and starch for the hydrogen bonding sites on the water molecules (Lelievre, 1976). As starch concentration increases, the number of free water molecules will decrease in the same mannner as for the sucrose solution. Thus, generally, less free water is present as the amount of dispersed substrates is increased. This held true at low concentrations of sucrose and/or starch however, at high concentrations it did not follow. The portion of free water seems to be influenced by other factors at high polymer or solute concentrations.

# Changes of $P_t^{th}$ and $P_t^{th}$ with increasing temperatures

Validation of assumption As in the previous study (Sobczynska et al., 1990), the overall change of P<sup>th</sup> was relatively linear with small differences over the temperature ranges (Fig. 1-3) but, P<sup>obs</sup> did not change linearly. Varied changes with increasing temperatures (Fig. 4-6) and the differences noted between P<sup>th</sup> and P<sup>obs</sup> for the same systems probably were a result of interactions. One of the assumptions of this study was that the

101

interaction between starch and sucrose was negligible in a starch-water-sucrose dispersion. The calculation of  $P_t^{u}$  was done based on this assumption. The  $P_t^{u}$ , which was calculated using equation 1 (Fig. 1, 2, and 3) follows the assumption but  $P_t^{obs}$ , which was calculated with equation 2 (Fig. 4, 5, and 6), does not. The observed data,  $R_{stau}$  (eq. 2), which were determined experimentally, resulted in the differences between  $P_t^{obs}$  and  $P_t^{u}$ .

The polymer water  $(P_{ml})$ , solute water  $(P_{ml})$ , and free water of theoretical  $(P_t^{th})$  and observed  $(P_t^{obs})$  portions with 20% starch-sucrose-water dispersions were described in Fig. 7, 8, and 9. The only P. is noted dramatically different from  $P_{f}^{th}$ ,  $P_{pol}$ , or  $P_{sol}$ . The  $P_{pol}$  and  $P_{sol}$  changed similarly with  $P_{e}^{th}$  over the temperature ranges studied. The  $P_{pol}$  (eq. 3) and  $P_{sol}$  (eq. 4) were obviously calculated without any interaction between starch and sucrose because they are only starch-water  $(P_{mi})$  and sucrose-water  $(P_{mi})$  systems, respectively. Equation 1 ( $P_t^{th} = 1 - P_{\infty 1} - P_{\infty 1}$ ), assumes no interactions, thus  $P_t^{th}$  was similar to  $P_{pol}$  and  $P_{sol}$  in Fig. 7, 8, and 9. Therefore, these results suggest that the P. was altered by an interaction between starch and sucrose during the heating of the starch-sucrose-water dispersion over the temperature ranges studied confirming results noted by Hansen et al. (1989) in Chapter 1. However, the overall trends of P,<sup>th</sup> and P,<sup>obs</sup> as temperature increased were probably



Fig. 7 - Comparison of portion of theoretical free water  $(P_t^{th}; O - O)$ , observed free water  $(P_t^{obs}; \bullet - \bullet)$ , polymer water  $(P_{pol}; \Delta - \Delta)$ , and solute water  $(P_{sol}; \Box - O)$  in the 20% starch-0.5M sucrose-water dispersion.



Fig. 8 - Comparison of portion of theoretical free water  $(P_{e}^{th}; O - O)$ , observed free water  $(P_{e}^{oh}; \bullet - \bullet O)$ , polymer water  $(P_{pol}; \Delta - \Delta)$ , and solute water  $(P_{col}; \Box - \bullet O)$  in the 20% starch-1.0M sucrose-water dispersion.



Fig. 9 - Comparison of portion of theoretical free water  $(P_r^{th}; O - O)$ , observed free water  $(P_r^{obs}; \bullet - \bullet O)$ , polymer water  $(P_{pol}; \Delta - \Delta)$ , and solute water  $(P_{sol}; \Box - \bullet O)$  in the 30% starch-1.5M sucrose-water dispersion.

more meaningful than absolute values of  $P_r^{th}$  and  $P_r^{obs}$  for understanding changes of water's state with temperature. The  $P_{pol}$  and  $P_{sol}$  are not constant as temperature changes as shown in Fig. 7, 8, and 9.

In assumption 2 of this study,  $P_{\text{pol}}$  and  $P_{\text{sol}}$  were hypothesized to be the same in a starch-sucrose-water dispersion as they were for starch-water and sucrose-water systems, individually, at the same temperature and The calculated  $P_{\infty i}$  and  $P_{\omega i}$  using equation 3 concentrations. and 4 at different concentrations, respectively, over the temperature ranges studied are described in Table 1 and 2. The  $P_{\infty i}$  and  $P_{\infty i}$  increased as starch and sucrose concentrations increased, icorectively. However, water gradually associated more with starch (Table 1) and less with sucrose (Table 2) as the temperature increased. Also,  $P_{min}$  and  $P_{min}$  increased as starch and sucrose concentrations were increased as shown in Table 1 and 2, respectively, even though the association ratio is not precisely proportional to the concentration. Allen and Wood (1974) explained that when more starch or sucrose is added to the system, the binding sites for water molecules are increased. Therefore  $P_{\infty 1}$  and  $P_{\infty 1}$  could be larger at the higher concentrations. The data given in Fig. 7 (8, 9) and Table 1 and 2 do not disprove assumption 2 at this point. Measurements were done at individual temperature points over the temperature ranges

studied, thus, even though  $P_{pol}$  and  $P_{sol}$  were not constant with temperature changes. However, they could be the same at any one temperature or concentration.

<u>Comparison of changing trend</u> The point at which mobility changes occurred for 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5M sucrose concentrations at the same starch concentration for P. was the same as for P,<sup>th</sup>. The P,<sup>th</sup> changes were not large (compare Fig. 1 and 4, Fig. 2 and 5, and Fig. 3 and 6). Therefore, observing only the changes of P. with temperature is probably enough. The  $P_t^{\infty}$  began changing at 51C (324K) (Fig. 4), and the curves rapidly differed for 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5M sucrose concentrations from 55C (328K) with 10% starchsucrose-water dispersions (Fig. 4). Likewise, the critical temperature at which free water changes occurred for the 20% starch-sucrose-water dispersions (Fig. 5) were 49C (322K) and 55C (328K) and they were 49 (322K) and 53C for the 30% starch-sucrose-water dispersions (Fig. 6). Interestingly, the temperatures when free water changed were identical to the minimum and maximum R, points of corresponding concentrations of starch-water system discussed in Chap. 1. The P. the increased somewhat abruptly and reached the maximum  $P_t^{obs}$  point with increasing temperature with all sample systems. These maximum P<sup>oss</sup> points (Fig. 6 for 30% starch system) were again identical with the maximum R, points

107



Fig. 10 - Changes of water mobility for 30% starchsucrose; O - O - 0.0M,  $\triangle - 0.5M$ ,  $\Box - \Box - 1.0M$ , and  $\bigcirc - 0.5M$  concentration-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.



(Fig. 10 for 30% starch system) of the corresponding concentrations of sample systems discussed in Chap. 1. The minimum  $P_r^{\infty}$  appeared, as would be logically expected, at the minimum water mobility (maximum  $R_1$ ) point of 30% starchwater system. Using equation 3, the proportion of polymer water ( $P_{\infty 1}$ ), was calculated by considering  $R_1$  of the corresponding concentration of starch-water alone system with the observed starch-sucrose-water dispersions. If these points are compared closely,  $P_r^{\infty}$  in Fig. 6 resulted in broader curves, requiring 2-4° longer, than was noted for the water mobility curves (Fig. 10).

The higher values of P,<sup>200</sup> at lower water mobility (higher R<sub>1</sub>) for the same system, is different to interpret. The higher R<sub>1</sub> (or lower water mobility) might indicate a greater solute-water interaction or polymer-water interaction (Suggett et al., 1976; Richardson et al., 1987a). Results shown in Table 1 and 2 indicate associations of starch and/or sucrose with water were not stable with temperature changes. If we assume no starchsucrose-water interaction, solute water was decreasing with weaker sucrose-water interaction as temperature was increased (Table 2) and, polymer water was increasing as starch gelatinization proceeded (Table 1). Negative values obtained for solute and polymer waters at some temperature points, especially at low concentrations, probably indicate

a net absorption of water by sucrose or starch with weak interactions. However, at the time of preparation of the starch-sucrose-water dispersion, most of the polymer and solute waters would be separate with only starch-water and sucrose-water interactions, respectively. As temperature increased, hydration of starch granule and polymer water (starch-water interaction) increased. Futher starch hydration or gelatinization would be expected to decrease the chemical potential of water inside of the granule and possibly solute water would be absorbed by starch. Meanwhile, the association between water and starch likely was becoming weaker and less stable. The sucrose could then easily interact with starch and the starch-sucrose-water interaction became stronger with formation of a gel network as temperature was increased. Sucrose has a lower molecular weight compared to the starch. The highly polar sucrose molecules, which are capable of forming strong hydrogen bonds, could have interacted with water molecules and with polar sites on the starch surfaces to which the water could bond by disrupting existing intermolecular associations between starch and water in a hydrated starch system (Duckworth, 1981). Greater solute-water or polymer-water interaction does not mean a greater amount of solute or polymer water but refers to the strength of those interactions (Leung et al. 1983; Wynne-Jones and Blanshard,

110

TEMP	STARCH CONCENTRATION			
°С (К)	10%	20%	30%	Ratio
35 (308) 37 (310) 39 (312) 41 (314) 43 (316) 45 (318) 47 (320) 49 (322) 51 (324) 53 (326) 55 (328) 57 (330) 59 (332) 61 (334) 63 (336) 65 (338) 67 (340) 69 (342) 71 (344) 73 (346) 75 (348) 77 (350) 79 (352) 81 (354) 83 (356)	.0652 0017 .0046 0096 0179 0179 0208 0195 0206 0103 .0039 .0108 .0135 .0143 .0170 .0216 .0240 .0271 .0278 .0396 .0490 .0247 0071 0237 0128	.0909 .0768 .0707 .0644 .0610 .0634 .0557 .0487 .0537 .0870 .1148 .1101 .0992 .0982 .1050 .1146 .1162 .1269 .1353 .1436 .1576 .1606 .1686 .0976 .0823	.1452 .1308 .1316 .1248 .1189 .1236 .1185 .1136 .1545 .2295 .2103 .1910 .1746 .1708 .1783 .1864 .1923 .2021 .2091 .2155 .2241 .2412 .3466 .2702	1:1.4:2.2 $1:45.2:76.9$ $1:15.4:28.6$ $1:6.7:13$ $1:3.4:6.4$ $1:3.5:6.9$ $1:2.7:5.7$ $1:2.5:5.8$ $1:2.6:7.5$ $1:8.5:22.3$ $1:29.4:53.9$ $1:10.2:17.7$ $1:7.4:12.9$ $1:6.9:11.9$ $1:6.2:10.5$ $1:5.3:8.6$ $1:4.8:7.9$ $1:4.7:7.1$ $1:4.9:7.3$ $1:3.7:5.3$ $1:3.2:4.4$ $1:6.5:9.1$ $1:23.6:34$ $1:6.4:27.1$ $1:6.4:21.1$
85 (358) 87 (360)	.0802 .0665	.3156 .3029	.5361 .3612	1:3.9:6.6 1:4.6:5.4

Table 1 - Portion of polymer waters for 10, 20, and 30% starch dispersions and their ratios at different temperatures.

TEMP	SUCROSE CONCENTRATION			
°C ( K)	0.5M	1.0M	1.5M	Ratio
35 (308) 37 (310) 39 (312) 41 (314) 43 (316) 45 (318) 47 (320) 49 (322) 51 (324) 53 (326) 55 (328) 57 (330) 59 (332) 61 (334) 63 (336) 65 (338) 67 (340) 69 (342) 71 (344) 73 (346) 75 (348) 77 (350)	.0210 .0100 .0115 .0067 .0030 .0041 .0049 .0064 0003 0026 .0019 0033 0103 0073 0099 0099 0099 0099 0099 0078 0150 0230 0268 0239 0250	.0473 .0446 .0570 .0450 .0456 .0488 .0513 .0494 .0436 .0479 .0511 .0496 .0487 .0479 .0465 .0479 .0465 .0479 .0465 .0377 .0395 .0221 .0286 .0317 .0118	.1706 .1799 .1820 .1558 .1634 .1640 .1649 .1608 .1546 .1457 .1568 .1545 .1516 .1500 .1428 .1458 .1375 .1382 .1291 .1307 .1357 .1355	1:2.3:8.1 $1:4.5:18$ $1:4.5:15.8$ $1:6.6:22.9$ $1:15.2:54.5$ $1:11.9:40$ $1:10.5:33.7$ $1:7.7:25.1$ $1:145.3:515.3$ $1:18.4:56$ $1:42.6:82.5$ $1:15:46.8$ $1:4.8:14.7$ $1:6.6:20.6$ $1:5.1:15.5$ $1:4.1:14.6$ $1:4.8:17.6$ $1:2.3:9.1$ $1:1:5.8$ $1:1.1:4.9$ $1:1.3:5.7$ $1:0.5:5.4$
79 (352) 81 (354) 83 (356) 85 (358) 87 (360)	0157 0311 0432 0656 0245	.0047 .0220 0116 0480 .0353	.1477 .1656 .0198 .1099 .1294	1:0.3:9.4 1:0.7:5.3 1:0.3:2.5 1:0.7:1.7 1:1.4:5.2

TABLE 2 - Portion of solute waters for 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5M sucrose solution and their ratios at different temperatures.

.

112

.

----

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

•

1986). Therefore, more free water was available as more starch-sucrose-water interaction occurred, and the greater starch-sucrose-water interaction probably caused the lower water mobility with higher  $R_1$  shown in Fig. 6 and 10.

In addition, in Table 2 one can see that, although the increasing rate is not precisely proportional to the concentrations, the ratio of solute water (0.5M:1.0M:1.5M sucrose) among three different sucrose concentrations changed dramatically from about 43C (316K) to 57C (330K), and the ratio was abruptly different at 51C (324K) (Table The ratio of polymer water with three concentrations of 2). starch (10%:20%:30% starch) was changed at approximately 53C (326K) (Table 1). This difference predicts the abrupt change of solute water (sucrose-water interaction) preceding the polymer water (starch-water interaction). Both polymer and solute water changes occurred earlier than the water mobility changes discussed in Chap. 1. These phenomena lend support to the supposition that the changes in the proportion of free water occurred before the water mobility changes. Therefore, the changes of P with sucrose-water interactions could precede changes of water mobility with sucrose-starch-water interaction. In turn, the changes of water mobility might precede the onset temperature of gelatinization of sucrose-starch-water interactions.

Several sucrose concentrations with 10, 20, or 30%



Fig. 11 - Calculated portion of free water based on observations  $(P, \stackrel{\text{def}}{=})$  for starch; O - O - 10%,  $\bigtriangleup - \bigstar - 20\%$ , and  $\Box - \Box - 30\%$  concentration-0.5M sucrose-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.

114



Fig. 12 - Calculated portion of free water based on observations  $(P, \stackrel{\infty}{\longrightarrow})$  for starch; O - O - 10%,  $\blacktriangle - 20\%$ , and  $\Box - \Box - 30\%$  concentration-1.0M sucrose-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.



Fig. 13 - Calculated portion of free water based on observations  $(P,^{\infty})$  for starch; O-O - 10%, A-A - 20%, and D-O - 30% concentration-1.5M sucrose-water dispersions as a function of increasing temperature.

116

starch (Fig. 11-13) depict clearly that the sucrose concentrations resulted in the increased temperature points for the free water portion  $(P, \infty)$ . For example, the shifting temperature points are 55 (Fig. 11), 61 (Fig. 12), and 65C (Fig. 13) for 0.5M, 1.0M, and 1.5M sucrose concentrations, respectively, for each of three starch concentrations. At the same sucrose concentration, the points are similar for the three different starch concentrations. Interestingly enough, these temperature points are again identical with the increased temperature at which R, occurs of the corresponding systems reported in Chapter 1 (Fig. 5, 6, and 7 in Chap. 1). Therefore, these results clearly support the idea that the major changes of P,<sup>obs</sup> came from the starch concentrations and the increased temperature that changes occurred depended on the sucrose concentrations and clearly reflected the interaction between starch and sucrose as did the R, discussed in Chap. 1.

#### CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The  $P_t^{th}$  and  $P_t^{obs}$  generally decreased as the amount of starch and/or sucrose were increased.
- 2. The test of assumption 1, the negligibility of interaction between starch and sucrose in a starch-

sucrose-water dispersion over the temperature ranges studied, indicated the assumption 1 was not valid with  $P_t^{obs}$ . The  $P_t^{obs}$  reflected the interaction between starch and sucrose shown in earlier studies.

- 3. Test of assumption 2 was valid for this study but more data at individual temperature points are needed to prove this assumption.
- 4. The  $P_{pol}$  and  $P_{mol}$  increased as starch and sucrose concentrations were increased, respectively, and, water gradually associated more with starch and less with sucrose as temperature was increased.
- 5. Overall changes of the  $P_{e}^{th}$ ,  $P_{pol}$ , and  $P_{eol}$  were similar to each other over the temperature ranges studied.
- 6. Changes of the  $P_t^{\infty}$  and water mobility were comparable to each other.
  - a. Major changes of  $P_r^{\infty}$  depended on the starch concentration and the increased temperatures of changes depended on the sucrose concentration.
  - b. The lowest P<sup>obe</sup> was identical to the maximum R, of the corresponding starch-water system.
  - c. The highest  $P_r^{\infty}$  was identical to the maximum  $R_1$  of the corresponding sample system and reflected the interaction between starch and sucrose.
  - d. Changes in temperature by sucrose were identical to the peak increased temperature of  $R_2$  with the

corresponding sucrose concentration.

7. The sequence of changes seems to be in the following order: 1) component interactions, 2) water mobility changes, and 3) starch gelatinization.

#### REFERENCES

Allen, A.T. and Wood, R.W. 1974. Molecular association in the sucrose-water system. Sugar Technol. Rev. 2:165.

Brosio, E., Altobelli, G., Yu, S., and DiNola, A. 1983. A pulsed low resolution NMR study of water binding to powdered milk. J. Food Technol. 18(2):219.

Brosio, E., Conti,F., Lintas, C., and Sykora, S. 1978. Moisture determination in starch-rich food products by pulsed nuclear magnetic resonance. J. Food Technol. 13(4):107.

Chinachoti, P. and Steinberg, M.P. 1986. Quantitative determination of polymer and solute bound waters by pulsed NMR. Paper No.311, presented at 46th Annual Meeting of the Inst. of Food Technologists, Dallas, TX.

Deodhar, S. and Luner, P. 1980. Measurement of bound (nonfreezing) water by differential scanning calorimetry. In "Water in Polymers," S.P. Rowland (Ed.), p.273. ACS Symp. Ser.127. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC.

DiNola, A. and Brosio, E. 1983. Bound and free water determination by pulsed nuclear magnetic resonance. J. Food Technol. 18:125.

Duckworth, R.B. 1981. Solute mobility in relation to water content and water activity. In "Water Activity: Influences on Food Quality," L.B. Rockland and G.F. Stewart (Ed.), p.295. Academic Press, Inc.

Fanni, J., Canet, D., Elbayed, K., and Hardy, J. 1989. <sup>1</sup>H and "Na NMR relaxation studies of the NaCl/B-lactoglobin system equilibrated at various water activities. J. Food Sci. 54:909.

Hall, B. and Wennerstrom, H. 1981. Interpretation of magnetic resonance data from water nuclei in heterogeneous systems. J. Chem. Phys. 75:1928.

Lang, K.W. and Steinberg, M.P. 1983. Characterization of polymer and solute bound water by pulsed NMR. J. Food Sci. 48:517.

Lechert, Von H. and Schwier, I. 1982. Nuclear magnetic resonance investigations on the mechanisms of water mobility

in different starches. Starch 34:6.

Lelievre, J. 1976. Theory of gelatinization in a starchwater-sloute system. Polymer 17:854.

Leung, H.K., Magnuson, J.A., and Bruinsma, B.L. 1979. Pulsed nuclear magnetic resonance study of water mobility in flour doughs. J. Food Sci. 44:1408.

Leung, H.K., Magnuson, J.A., and Bruinsma, B.L. 1983. Water binding of wheat flour doughs and breads as studied by deuteron relaxation. J. Food Sci. 48:95.

Leung, H.K., Steinberg, M.P., Wei, L.S., and Nelson, A.I. 1976. Water binding of macromolecules determined by pulsed NMR. J. Food Sci. 41:297.

Maurice, T.J., Slade, L., Strett, R.R., and Page, C.M. 1985. Polysaccharide-water interactions - thermal behavior of rice starch. In "Properties of Water in Foods," D. Simatos and J.L. Multon (Ed.), p.211. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht. Netherlands.

Richardson, S.J., Baianu, I.C., and Steinberg, M.P. 1986. Mobility of water in wheat flour suspensions as studied by proton and oxygen-17 nuclear magnetic resonance. J. Agric. Food Chem. 34:17.

Richardson, S.J., Baianu, I.C., and Steinberg, M.P. 1987a. Mobility of water in starch-sucrose systems determined by deuterium and oxygen-17 NMR. Starch 39:302.

Richardson S.J., Baianu, I.C., and Steinberg, M.P. 1987b. Mobility of water in sucrose solutions determined by deuterium and oxygen-17 nuclear magnetic resonance. J. Food Sci. 52:806.

Richardson, S.J. and Steinberg, M.P. 1987c. Applications of nuclear magnetic resonance. Chp. 11. In "Water Activity: Theory and Applications to Food," L.B. Rockland and L.R. Beuchat (Ed.), p.235. IFT basic symposium series. Marcel Dekker, Inc.

Sobczynska, D., Setser, C., Lim, H., Hansen, L.M., and Pausktelis, J.V. 1990. Use of <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>17</sup>O NMR to study wheat starch-water-sugar interactions with increasing temperatures. In "The applications of NMR in Food and Agricultural Chemistry," J.W. Finley and R.F. Lundin (Ed.). ACS Symposium Series. (Agricultural and Food Division 1988. ACS Annual Meeting, Los Angeles, CA) American Chemical

121

Society, Washington, DC. In press.

Spies, R.D. and Hoseney, R.C. 1982. Effect of sugars on starch gelatinization. Cereal Chem. 59:128.

Suggett, A., Ablett, S., and Lillford, P.J. 1976. Molecular motion and interactions in aqueous carbohydrate solutions II. Nuclear-magnetic-relaxation studies. J. Soln. Chem. 5(1):17.

Suggett, A. and Clark, A.H. 1976. Molecular motion and interactions in aqueous carbohydrate solutions. I. Dielectric-relaxation studies. J. Soln. Chem. 5:1.

Tait, M.J., Suggett, A., Franks, F., Ablett, S., and Quickenden, P.A. 1972. Hydration of monosaccharides: A study by dielectric and nuclear magnetic relaxation. J. Soln. Chem. 1:131.

Wynne-Jones, S. and Blanshard, J.M.V. 1986. Hydration studies of wheat starch, amylospectin, amylose gels and bread by proton magnetic resonance. Carbohydrate Polymers 6:289.

## STUDIES OF WATER MOBILITY AND DETERMINATION OF POLYMER, SOLUTE, AND FREE WATER IN WHEAT STARCH-SUGAR-WATER DISPERSIONS BY USING 'O NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTROSCOPY

by

# HYESOOK SON LIM

B.S., Hanyang University, KOREA, 1981 M.S., Korea University, KOREA, 1985

# AN ABSTRACT OF A DISSERTATION

# submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

# Food Science

Department of Foods and Nutrition

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY Manhattan, Kansas

1990

### ABSTRACT

Water mobility in wheat starch-sugar (sucrose, glucose, maltose, and maltotriose)-water dispersions was observed by measuring the transverse relaxation rate (R,) from the "70 nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra. Sugar-water, starch-water, and sugar-starch-water interactions were observed as the temperature of the systems increased from 35 to 87C (308-360K). The principal effect of sucrose was an increase in the temperature of the R, maximum, and the overall R, also increased slightly in its presence. Starch's primary effect was to decrease the mobility of water in the sample dispersions. As molecular weight and concentration of sugar were increased, the temperature at which the R, maximum occurred and the magnitude of the R, maximum both increased. Structural differences influenced the rate of water mobility changes and onset temperature of gelatinization at the same molecular weight. The principal water mobility changes with all sugar dispersions studied occurred prior to onset temperature of gelatinization as determined by using DSC.

Amount of water associated with the starch  $(P_{pol})$  and sucrose  $(P_{sol})$  considering the R, of saturated starch and sucrose solutions as the instrinsic R, of  $P_{pol}$  and  $P_{sol}$ , respectively, were determined for the hypothetical models. Observed values of free water  $(P_{s}^{obs})$  in wheat starch-sucrose-

water dispersions with increasing temperature (35-87C) were used for comparisons with calculated values of free water based on a theoretical model ( $P_t^{cb}$ ). The  $P_t^{cb}$  and  $P_2^{cbc}$ generally decreased as the amount of dispersed substrates were increased. The  $P_{pol}$  and  $P_{sol}$  increased as starch and sucrose concentrations were increased and, water gradually associated more with starch and less with sucrose as temperature was increased. The changes of  $P_t^{cb}$ ,  $P_{pol}$ , and  $P_{sol}$ were similar to each other over the temperature ranges studied. A sequence of changes seems to be in the following order: 1) component interactions, 2) water mobility changes, and 3) starch gelatinization.